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Abstract This study aimed to determine metabolic and respiratory adaptations during intense exercise and improvement of long-sprint performance following six sessions of long-sprint training. Nine subjects performed before and after training (1) a 300-m test, (2) an incremental exercise up to exhaustion to determine the velocity associated with maximal oxygen uptake (v\(\times\)VO\(_{2\text{max}}\)), (3) a 70-s constant exercise at intensity halfway between the v\(\times\)VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) and the velocity performed during the 300-m test, followed by a 60-min passive recovery to determine an individual blood lactate recovery curve fitted to the bi-exponential time function: \(L_{\text{a}}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} L_{\text{a0}} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} - (\frac{L_{\text{a0}}}{\alpha_2} - L_{\text{a}}} e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_2}}\), and blood metabolic and gas exchange responses. The training program consisted of 3–6 repetitions of 150–250 m interspersed with rest periods with a duration ratio superior or equal to 1:10, 3 days a week, for 2 weeks. After sprint training, reduced metabolic disturbances, characterized by a lower peak expired ventilation and carbon dioxide output, in addition to a reduced peak lactate (\(P \leq 0.05\)), was observed. Training also induced significant decrease in the net amount of lactate released at the beginning of recovery (\(P \leq 0.05\)), and significant decrease in the net lactate release rate (NLRR) (\(P \leq 0.05\)). Lastly, a significant improvement of the 300-m performance was observed after training. These results suggest that long-sprint training of short durations was effective to rapidly prevent metabolic disturbances, with alterations in lactate accumulation and gas exchange, and improvement of the NLRR. Furthermore, only six long-sprint training sessions allow long-sprint performance improvement in active subjects.
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Introduction

During heavy exercise, the rapid increase in energy demand of contracting skeletal muscle is associated with the production and accumulation of lactate and proton ions. Lactate and protons are subsequently removed by various intracellular mechanisms, or are released to the blood and removed by other cells according to the lactate shuttle (Brooks 2000). Blood lactate kinetics during recovery can be investigated by means of a bicompartimental model of the lactate distribution space (Freund and Zouloumian 1981a). It has been shown that the two exponential terms that describe the time course of blood lactate concentrations (Freund and Gendry 1978) provide indirect information on the abilities to exchange and remove lactate during recovery (Freund and Zouloumian 1981a). The velocity constants of these functions are indicators of the blood lactate recovery kinetics, because they specifically
reflect the abilities to exchange lactate between the previously worked muscle and the blood [high velocity constant \(c_1\)], and to remove it from the total lactate diffusion space [low velocity constant \(c_2\)] (Freund and Zouloumian 1981a).

Endurance training improves the lactate exchange ability \(c_1\) (Messonnier et al. 2001). Besides, lactate exchange ability has been reported to be higher in middle-distance runners than in sprint runners (Bret et al. 2003), and was correlated with the best performance over a 800 m (Bret et al. 2003). Endurance training also improves the lactate removal ability \(c_2\) from the blood (Messonnier et al. 2001, 2006), while \(c_2\) did not differ between sprint and endurance runners after 1-min of constant high-intensity exercise (Bret et al. 2003). Interestingly, \(c_2\) was related to sarcolemmal lactate-proton cotransporter (MCT1 and MCT4) contents (Thomas et al. 2005) and maximal oxidative capacity in humans (Thomas et al. 2004).

With sprint training, many adaptations occur in gas exchange across the lungs and within skeletal muscles. During matched work exercise, a reduced respiratory response has been reported after sprint training (Harmer et al. 2000), whereas during exercise performed until exhaustion, a greater pulmonary CO2 output was observed (McKenna et al. 1997), which may improve acid–base control after sprint training and allow improvement in the ability to perform high-intensity exercise (McKenna et al. 1997). Furthermore, muscle oxidative potential (Burgomaster et al. 2005, 2007; Parra et al. 2000; Rodas et al. 2000) and sarcolemmal lactate-proton cotransporter isofoms (Burgomaster et al. 2007) have been reported to be rapidly altered after 1 week of sprint training, which suggests that improvements in lactate and H+ metabolism and transport could be rapidly stimulated by repeated bouts of very intense sprint exercise (Bret et al. 2003). To our knowledge, no data are available on the effects of long-sprints training of short duration on lactate and acid–base balance regulation during and after supramaximal exercise.

In consequence, we hypothesized that lactate exchange and removal abilities could be enhanced in response to exercise at the same absolute workload after just 2 weeks of long-sprint training. Then, this could therefore result in reductions in blood lactate and proton accumulations, associated with adaptations in gas exchange for the regulation of pH. In an attempt to verify these hypotheses, we investigated the effects of six sessions of long-sprint training on (1) metabolic disturbances and (2) the lactate recovery kinetics and its associated parameters, both in response to a 70-s supramaximal exercise conducted at an identical power output before and after training. In order to investigate these adaptations, we voluntarily chose a constant velocity test (CET) at an intensity which challenged anaerobic and aerobic metabolism inducing marked metabolic changes.

Methods

Subjects

Nine male volunteers [(mean ± SE), age 20.9 ± 0.7 years, height 175.0 ± 2.0 cm and body mass 70.6 ± 3.7 kg] participated in this study. All of them were well-trained sport students regularly involved in running or in team sports (3–5 training sessions a week). All the participants were notified of the research procedures, requirements, benefits, and risks before providing informed consent. The study was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee and conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki regarding the use of human subjects.

Experimental design

The protocol included pre-training, training, and post-training sessions, and was realized before the summer competition period. In pre- and post-training, subjects performed two track-running session tests. All tests were performed in late afternoon at least 4 h after the last meal. During the first visit, subjects performed a 300-m maximal sprint on the outdoor track. Then, the second test was an incremental test aiming to determine individual maximal oxygen consumption and the minimal speed associated with VO2max (\(\nu\),VO2max), and was followed 1 h later, by a maximal 70-s constant test.

For the second session of tests, oxygen uptake (\(\text{VO}_2\)), carbon dioxide production (\(\text{VCO}_2\)), and minute ventilation (VE) were measured breath by breath by means of an open circuit metabolic cart (Metamax 3B, CORTEX, Germany). Heart rate (HR) was measured and recorded continuously with a HR monitor (S810i and T61 electrode belt, Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland). Over all the test sessions, arterisedal capillary fingertip blood samples (85 [L]) were taken in order to measure pH, partial pressure of carbon dioxide (\(\text{PCO}_2\)), blood lactate and bicarbonate concentration ([Lact] and [HCO₃⁻], respectively) with an i-STAT dry chemistry analyser (Abbott, Les Ulis, France). These measurements with the i-STAT portable analyser have been found to be reliable (ICC = 0.77–0.95 following maximal exercise) (Dascombe et al. 2007). In order to measure the blood lactate kinetics following 70-s constant exercise and because of the limited range of the i-STAT system (0.30–20 mmol L⁻¹), additional samples (20 [L]) were taken in other fingers for analysis using a Biosen Lactate analyser (Biosen C-line analyser, EKF Industrie, Elektronik GmbH, Barleben, Germany) (Davison et al. 2000).
Protocol

Supramaximal exercise: 300 m

The warm-up was standardised according to a regular pre-event competition warm-up (15 min of jogging, stretching, warm-up short sprints). Then, subjects performed the 300-m test. They were asked to run as fast as possible during the race, and strong vocal support was given from the start to the finish line. The performance was recorded with a stopwatch by a coach specialised in track and field.

Assessment of maximal oxygen uptake (VO\textsubscript{2max}) and \(v\cdot\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}\)

\(\text{VO}_2\) and \(v\cdot\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}\) were determined using an incremental test conducted on a 333-m indoor track marked every 25 m. The running pace was given by sounds emitted through a speaker controlled by a computer software program to ensure precise control of speed by setting an audible cadence. The initial velocity was 10 km h\(^{-1}\), which was then increased by 1 km h\(^{-1}\) every min until exhaustion. Lactate concentrations were determined at rest and immediately after the end of the \(\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}\) test.

70-s constant test delta50

This test was performed on the same 333-m indoor track as the \(\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}\) test, and the subject had to attain a constant velocity halfway between the \(v\cdot\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}\) and the velocity performed during the 300-m test (50\%\). This constant velocity was identical in pre and post-training tests. An audio signal-generator (laboratory homemade) was programmed to produce individualized signals at each 25-m interval (Hanon et al. 2010), and the athlete was accompanied by a researcher on a bike who gave the velocity to perform and verbal encouragement throughout the test period. In addition, strong verbal encouragements were provided throughout the test by other researchers.

1 h after the \(\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}\) test, subjects performed the 70-s test. Blood samples were taken before the test at rest, and 1 and 7 min from the onset of passive recovery for the determination of the blood acid–base status, at rest, and at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 min of recovery from the 70-s constant test for the determination of blood lactate concentrations.

Training protocol

Training consisted of six long sprint training sessions [29 (39 150 m), 29 (150–200–150 m), 39 250 m, 69 150 m, 49 200 m, 39 250 m)] interspersed with rest periods with a duration ratio superior or equal to 1:10 (exercise:recovery). 1–3 days were permitted between training sessions. Post-tests for \(\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}\) and the constant exercise tests were performed 5 days after the end of the training sessions, and the 300-m performance test was conducted 8 days after, respectively.

The choice of the content of each training session was based on textbooks of training (Newsholme et al. 1994), which present interval sprint training with long recoveries (with an exercise/recovery ratio superior or equal to 1:10) for the enhancement of long-sprint performance, and commonly used in athletic training at the difference of laboratory training sessions consisting of repeated 30-s all-out exercise (Burgomaster et al. 2005). Furthermore, subjects rested 1–3 days between training sessions and 5–7 days after the training program, in an effort to promote recovery and facilitate performance adaptations, since it has been reported that tapering allows metabolic overcompensation and performance improvement after training regimen (Shepley et al. 1992).

Blood samples

Lactate kinetics analysis

Arterialized blood lactate was collected during the recovery periods following the supramaximal exercise test and each individual curve was fitted using the following bi-exponential equation (Freund and Gendry 1978):

\[
\text{La}(t) = \frac{1}{4} \text{La}(0) \left[ A_1 e^{c_1t} + A_2 e^{c_2t} + B_1 e^{d_1t} + B_2 e^{d_2t} \right]
\]

where \(\text{La}(t)\) is the measured lactate concentration in arterialized blood at time \(t\) after the end of exercise and at the beginning of the recovery; \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) (mmol L\(^{-1}\)) are the amplitudes of the two exponential components, and \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) (min\(^{-1}\)) are their respective velocity constants. The individual parameters of the bi-exponential function were fitted by means of an iterative nonlinear technique, using DataFit 6.0 software to determine the values of \(A_1\), \(A_2\), \(c_1\), \(c_2\) and \(\text{La}(0)\). The percentage of the variance explained by the use of the bi-exponential curve fit was determined by correlation of the observed and the predicted \(\text{La}(t)\) at each time and by squaring of the Pearson-product correlation coefficient (Fig. 1).

Applications of the parameters of the fits to Eq. 1 to a two-compartment model of the lactate distribution space allows prediction of the evolution of the net lactate release rate (NLRR, mmol min\(^{-1}\)) during the recovery by means of the following equation

\[
\text{NLRR}() = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \text{La}(0) \left[ A_1 e^{c_1t} + A_2 e^{c_2t} + B_1 e^{d_1t} + B_2 e^{d_2t} \right] - d_2 \left[ V_S x A_2 e^{-c_2t} + V_S x A_1 e^{-c_1t} \right] \right] x t
\]
(V_{TLS}) and the volume of muscles involved in the previous exercise (V_M), i.e., V_S = V_{TLS} - V_M = 250 mL kg^{-1} body mass, and \( d \) is the basal lactate release rate to the blood, i.e., 0.12 mmol min^{-1}. Application of the model gives realistic predictions when the difference between \( d_1 \) and \( c_2 \) is small, i.e., \( d_2 = c_2 - 0.005 \) min^{-1}. The integral of Eq. 2 gives an estimation of the net amount of lactate released (NALR, mmol) from the previously active muscles to the blood (for further details of the model and its application, see Bret et al. 2003; Freund and Zouloumian 1981b).

**Statistics**

Descriptive statistics are expressed as mean ± SE. Differences in pre- and post-training were identified by means of the paired Student’s \( t \) test or Wilcoxon-signed rank test depending on the normality, using SigmaStat3.1 software. Differences between pre- and post-training values and relationships among variables were considered to be significant for \( P \leq 0.05 \) and to represent a tendency for \( 0.05 \leq P \leq 0.10 \). NS indicated no significant difference.

**Results**

**Incremental test**

Mean values obtained in the incremental test are presented in Table 1. VO_{2\text{max}} was significantly improved \( (P \leq 0.05) \) with training, but no significant differences were observed for \( \nu\text{-}VO_{2\text{max}} \) after training (NS).

**70-s constant supramaximal test**

The velocity at 50% \( \nu \) between velocity of 300-m test and \( \nu\text{-}VO_{2\text{max}} \) was 21.1 ± 0.3 km h^{-1}.

**Metabolic disturbances**

Blood lactate concentration at 1 min of recovery following 70-s constant exercise did not change after training \( (9.1 ± 0.6 \text{ vs. } 9.0 ± 0.6 \text{ mmol L}^{-1}, \text{NS}) \), whereas peak blood lactate concentrations was \( 13.2 ± 0.6 \text{ mmol L}^{-1} \) in pre-training and significantly decreased at \( 11.4 ± 0.6 \text{ mmol L}^{-1} \) in post-training \( (P \leq 0.05) \) (Table 2).

As reported in Table 3, mean blood pH values at min 1 and 7 of recovery did not change significantly after training, although intra-individual changes in pH after training were related to changes in [Lact] \( (r = -0.84, P \leq 0.01) \). VE_{\text{peak}} during constant exercise and the drop in PCO_{2} at min 7 of recovery were significantly lower after training \( (P \leq 0.05) \). In consequence, VCO_{2\text{peak}} was significantly lower during constant exercise after training \( (P \leq 0.05) \), and the drop in blood \([\text{HCO}_3^-] \) tended to be lower at min 7 of recovery after training \( (P = 0.08) \).

As expected, overall the intra-individual change between pre and post training in pH value into 7 min was negatively related to the decrease in VCO_{2\text{peak}} and VE_{\text{peak}} after training \( (r = -0.86, P \leq 0.01 \text{ and } r = -0.68, P = 0.06, \text{respectively}) \), and to the increase in [HCO_{3}^-] into 7 min of recovery \( (r = 0.90, P \leq 0.01) \). Furthermore, after sprint training, changes in VCO_{2\text{peak}} and VE_{\text{peak}} were significantly correlated \( (r = 0.89, P \leq 0.01) \). These changes were significantly correlated to the training-induced increase in \([\text{HCO}_3^-] \) at 7 min after constant exercise \( (r = -0.86, P \leq 0.01 \text{ and } r = -0.81, P \leq 0.05, \text{respectively}) \).

At last, as shown in Table 4, no change in VO_{2\text{peak}} was reported after training \( (97.0 ± 4.9 \text{ vs. } 96.6 ± 6.0\% \text{ of VO}_{2\text{max}}, \text{NS}) \).

**Descriptive data of the blood lactate recovery curves**

The time course of blood lactate concentration during recovery showed the classic biphasic evolution pattern for
Table 1 Parameters measured at the end of incremental test (mean ± SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VO(_{2\max}) (ml min(^{-1}) kg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Respiratory exchange ratio</th>
<th>[Lact] (mmol L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>v-VO(_{2\max}) (km h(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-training</td>
<td>56.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-training</td>
<td>57.8 ± 1.4*</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>17.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VO\(_{2\max}\), maximal oxygen consumption, VCO\(_2\)/VO\(_2\) ratio respiratory exchange ratio, [Lact] lactate concentration at the end of the test, v-VO\(_{2\max}\), minimal speed at which the athlete was running when VO\(_{2\max}\) occurred.

Table 2 Mean blood lactate concentration characteristic, and parameters of the net lactate release rate and the net amount of lactate release during recovery of 70-s constant exercise test (mean ± SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[La(0)] (mmol·L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>[LaMax] (mmol·L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>NLRR(0) (mmol·min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>NALR(10) (mmol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-training</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>78.2 ± 17.4</td>
<td>195.3 ± 25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-training</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>11.4 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>47.5 ± 2.6*</td>
<td>162.3 ± 11.3*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[La(0)], which corresponds to lactate concentration at the end of exercise, derived from the mathematical model

* P ≤ 0.05 significantly different from pre-training

Table 3 Metabolic and gas exchange parameters measured at rest and during the recovery of the 70-s constant exercise test (mean ± SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>[Lact] mmol L(^{-1})</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>[HCO(_3)] mmol L(^{-1})</th>
<th>P(_{CO_2}) (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.01</td>
<td>24.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>38.3 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.01</td>
<td>24.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>38.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-training</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.5(^{SSS})</td>
<td>7.23 ± 0.01(^{SSS})</td>
<td>15.9 ± 0.4(^{SSS})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-training</td>
<td>1 min</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.5(^{SSS})</td>
<td>7.24 ± 0.01(^{SSS})</td>
<td>16.7 ± 0.6(^{SSS})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-training</td>
<td>7 min</td>
<td>12.6 ± 0.6(^{SSS, *})</td>
<td>7.22 ± 0.02(^{SSS})</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.7(^{SSS, **})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-training</td>
<td>7 min</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.4(^{SSS, *})</td>
<td>7.23 ± 0.01(^{SSS})</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.8(^{SSS})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(P = 0.08\) for [HCO\(_3\)] \text{between pre- and post-training value intra 7 min of recovery}.

[Lact] blood lactate concentration, [HCO\(_3\)] blood bicarbonate concentration, P\(_{CO_2}\) partial pressure of carbon dioxide

\(^{SS}\) P ≤ 0.05; \(^{SSS}\) P ≤ 0.001 significantly different from rest

\(^{\*}\) P ≤ 0.05; \(^{**}\) P ≤ 0.01; \(^{***}\) P ≤ 0.001 significantly different from 1 min of recovery

\(^{\*}\) P ≤ 0.05 significantly different from pre-training

Table 4 Gas exchange parameters measured during the constant exercise test (mean ± SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VO(_{2\text{peak}}) (L min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>VCO(<em>2)(</em>{\text{peak}}) (L min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>VE(_{\text{peak}}) (L min(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-training</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>128.6 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-training</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>117.5 ± 3.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VO\(_{2\text{peak}}\) peak of oxygen uptake reached during the constant exercise test, VCO\(_2\)\(_{\text{peak}}\) peak of carbon dioxide production reached during the constant exercise test, VE\(_{\text{peak}}\) peak of minute ventilation reached during the constant exercise test

\(^{\*}\) P ≤ 0.05 significantly different from pre-training

Table 5 Parameters of the bi-exponential curves fitted to arterialized blood lactate resting value and recovery curves obtained from 70-s constant exercise test (mean ± SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A(_1) (mmol L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>c(_1) (min(^{-1}))</th>
<th>A(_2) (mmol L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>c(_2) (min(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-training</td>
<td>16.1 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.357 ± 0.054</td>
<td>-21.7 ± 3.08</td>
<td>0.055 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-training</td>
<td>12.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.311 ± 0.043</td>
<td>-18.2 ± 1.91</td>
<td>0.060 ± 0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A\(_1\), c\(_1\), A\(_2\), c\(_2\) refer to the definition of Eq. 1 in lactate kinetics analysis

all subjects. From the end of exercise, blood lactate concentration increased, reached a peak, and thereafter decreased progressively. The bi-exponential model accurately fitted the individual recovery curves obtained after the constant exercise test. Values are reported in Tables 2 and 5. In most cases, the bi-exponential equation
accounted for more than 98% of the variance in the lactate recovery curves. In addition, the fit accuracy for all subjects was comparable to that obtained previously (Thomas et al. 2004).

**Velocities constant measured during 70-s constant exercise recovery**

No significant change in $c_1$ and $c_2$ were observed after long-sprint training of short-duration (Table 5), although intra-individual changes are observed.

**NLRR and net amount of lactate released estimated during 70-s constant exercise recovery**

After sprint training, as indicated in Table 2, NLRR(0) significantly decreased ($P \leqslant 0.05$, Fig. 2a), and the NALR value at 10 min of recovery was lower by 25% ($P \leqslant 0.05$, Fig. 2b). In response to the training regimen protocol, the decrease in NALR(10) after the constant exercise was significantly related to changes in maximal [Lact] ($r = 0.78$, $P \leqslant 0.05$).

**Supramaximal exercises**

The 300-m performance was significantly improved after 2 weeks of sprint training (42.77 ± 0.67 vs. 41.77 ± 0.64 s, $P \leqslant 0.05$), and the post-training chronometric values corresponded to 97.7 ± 4.2% of the pre-training 300-m performance. The training-induced improvements of 300-m velocity were inversely related to the changes in $c_2$ ($r = 0.70$, $P \leqslant 0.05$), but were not related to any other parameters (NS).

**Discussion**

The results of the present study demonstrated that long-sprint protocols performed three times per week over as
brief a period of time as 2 weeks could lead to a significant reduced metabolic disturbances, since we observed significant lower peak expired ventilation and carbon dioxide output during intense exercises, in addition to a significant reduced peak blood lactate concentration and a trend to a higher blood bicarbonate concentration during recovery. We also observed decreases in the NLRR at \( t = 0 \) min of recovery (NLRR(0)) and in the net amount of lactate released at \( t = 10 \) min (NALR(10)) after the constant exercise test. In addition, decrease in maximal [Lact] after sprint training was related to the training-induced decrease in NALR(10) and increase in blood pH during recovery. Finally, a significant improvement of 300-m test performance was observed, which was related to intra-individual alterations in blood lactate removal (C2) ability.

In the present study, significant reductions in VEpeak and VCO2peak were observed during the constant exercise test after long-sprint training, that confirms previous results obtained during matched work-exercise before and after sprint training (Harmer et al. 2000). Changes in respiratory parameters after sprint training are also in accordance with previous results (McKenna et al. 1997), which showed greater pulmonary and active skeletal muscle gas exchange during maximal 30-s sprint exercise performed until exhaustion. Although we could not determine the lowest pH values, individual changes in pH value at 7 min into recovery after sprint training contribute to the decrease in VEpeak and VCO2peak, which confirms previous results (Nielsen et al. 2002; Peronnet et al. 2007). Based on lower peak VE and VCO2, sprint training could also reduce the respiratory muscle work and VO2 (Aaron et al. 1992), thus allowing increased exercising leg muscle VO2 without any change in the whole body VO2, as previously observed after sprint training by Harmer et al. (2000). In this line, the rise in pulmonary ventilation during long sprints such as 300 and 400 m (Hanon et al. 2010), could result from the role of pH for ventilatory control (Nielsen et al. 2002) and could be affected by the sprint-training effects of lower respiratory muscle VO2 on locomotor muscle VO2 contribution, which could contribute to long-sprint performance improvement.

Furthermore, as VO2peak was the same after sprint training, aerobic ATP yield should be similar, whereas glycolytic ATP yield was presumably lower as suggest by the lower lactate peak value. In consequence, this suggests that mechanical efficiency was increased after training in these active subjects during the constant exercise test, which could also contribute to higher performance improvement in 300-m tests. In addition, as intra-individual improvement in the 300-m performance was related to intra-individual changes in blood lactate removal ability, we could hypothesize that lower fatigue during these long-sprints could result from lactate shuttle adaptations (Messonnier et al. 2007; Thomas et al. 2005) and blood acid–base regulation (McKenna et al. 1997), since it has been reported that lactate and protons could play a role in the appearance of fatigue (Favero et al. 1995; Spriet et al. 1985).

Interestingly, lower peak [Lact] and NALR(10) observed after short-sprint training in response to an exercise bout similar in terms of absolute work rate and duration seem to be due to a lower lactate appearance in the bloodstream during the early phase of the recovery. This lower appearance was not related to an improvement in blood lactate removal ability, since C2 does not change after sprint training, but may be related to a slower rate of lactate release at the onset of the recovery than before sprint training. In accordance, NLRR(0) was lower after training. Because C1, which represents the lactate exchange ability during the recovery, was not altered by training, the lowest NLRR(0) maybe be the result of a lower muscle to blood gradient that will result in a lower amount of lactate released during the recovery. In line with this, NALR(10) was significantly lower after training. Furthermore, the lower peak [Lact] value during the recovery after training, associated with lacks of changes in C1 and C2 due to the training regimen, may argue in favor of a lower muscle lactate accumulation after training.

In consequence, these results could be explained by an alteration in glycolytic flux. Indeed, although some have not (Dawson et al. 1998; Hickson et al. 1976), different studies have reported that glycolytic flux is more precisely regulated after sprint training (Cadefau et al. 1990; Fournier et al. 1982; Roberts et al. 1982), which may be responsible for superior maximal exercise performance in sprint-trained athletes compared with other athletes (Costill et al. 1976) and for 300-m performance improvement observed in this study. Here, for the exercise performed at the same absolute work rate, we can speculate that a lower rate of glycolytic enzyme activity after long-sprint training could explain the reduction of muscle pyruvate accumulation and lactate formation. In addition, by facilitating intramuscular lactate exchange and oxidation, mitochondrial lactate-proton cotransporters 1 (MCT1) could depress net muscle lactate release, as previously observed (Dubouchaud et al. 2000), and increase in sarcolemmal MCTs content could improve lactate exchange between muscle fibers (Brooks 2000, 2002), allowing a lower [Lact]. In line with our results, it has been also reported that sprint training could increase both MCT1 (Bickham et al. 2006; Burgomaster et al. 2007) and MCT4 (Burgomaster et al. 2007) contents, and that high-intensity training sessions seem necessary to obtain high lactate transport capacity (Pilegaard et al. 1994), which support our interpretation.

One other interesting finding in the present study is that only six sessions of intense sprint training induced
alterations in blood lactate accumulation and net lactate release. In this line, previous data have reported that brief repeated bouts of very intense exercise can rapidly stimulate improvements in muscle oxidative potential (Burgomaster et al. 2005), but also increase MCT content (Burgomaster et al. 2007) since MCT1 and MCT4 belong to a class of proteins can be very rapidly up-regulated (Burgomaster et al. 2007; Coles et al. 2004). Furthermore, these results are in accordance with a previous study on the effects of 4 weeks of endurance training on lactate kinetics parameters (Messonni er et al. 2006), which also reported a reduced muscle lactate accumulation related to a decrease in both the NLRR at the beginning of the recovery and the NALR at 10 min of recovery, and a significant increase in C2. Consistent with these results, Burgomaster et al. (2008) have reported that endurance and sprint training induced similar metabolic adaptations, in particular for oxidative metabolism, but they also observed similar reductions in net muscle glycogenolysis and phosphocreatine degradation during matched-work exercise after both forms of training.

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggested that long-sprint training of short duration reduced metabolic and respiratory perturbations, and allows a 300-m performance improvement, which was also related to intra-individual variations of blood lactate removal ability. In addition, blood lactate accumulation was reduced after training, and was associated with a decrease in the NLRR at the beginning of the recovery and the NALR at 10 min of recovery after constant exercise test. Although not measured, alterations in glycolytic flux and MCT content are the main hypothesis to explain these lactate metabolism adaptations.
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