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Summary The concept of ventilatory thresholds (VTs) has been shown to be particularly useful to prescribe exercise intensities, yet, to date no study has examined the effects of previous submaximal exercise (i.e. a simulated training session) on the subsequent occurrence of VTs. We designed the present study to test the hypothesis that a previous short simulated training session induces an earlier VTs occurrence. Thirteen trained subjects performed two classical incremental tests on a cycle ergometer. The two tests were separated by a time period of 2–5 days, and the second test was preceded by a 34-min simulated training session. The first and second VTs (VT1 and VT2, respectively) were detected and expressed in their corresponding values of time, VO2, and power output. The simulated training session did not modify VT1 occurrence. In contrast, VT2 was influenced by the simulated training session, showing a significant earlier occurrence when VT2 was expressed in time [−42 (−72; −13) s] and in power output [−15 (−25; −5) W]. Maximal power tolerated (MPT) was also significantly reduced by the previous exercise [−17 (−27; −7) W]. As a consequence, training intensities based on power output (associated with VT2 or in %MPT) would be overestimated (i.e. higher metabolic state) after a short period of training session. Thus, doubt is cast on the pertinence of using the power output (or running speed by extension) for prescription of exercise during prolonged training sessions.

© 2007 Sports Medicine Australia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The concept of ventilatory thresholds (VTs) is closely linked in the literature to the concept of anaerobic threshold and respiratory compensation point (respectively for the first and the second VTs). It has been shown to be particularly useful to assess the exercise tolerance of individuals with cardiorespiratory diseases.1 In the last few decades, this concept has engendered a great deal of interest from coaches, athletes and clinicians. In fact, numerous studies have shown that VTs could be considered a key indicator of aerobic
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aptitudes and VTs can be used to measure the effects of endurance training. Moreover, VTs have been shown to be particularly useful to prescribe exercise intensities in order to optimize aerobic conditioning in pathological, sedentary or well-trained subjects. Indeed, various studies report that training at an intensity near the VTs induces a rightward shift of the lactate curve and a concomitant increase in VTs, either expressed in power output (W) or oxygen uptake (VO₂). The first and second VTs (i.e. VT₁ and VT₂, respectively) are classically determined during incremental exercise. Because several techniques based on visual inspection of respiratory gas exchange graphical plots have been described to measure VTs, their definitions are not always consistent in the literature. The most popular method to determine both VT₁ and VT₂ considers VT₁ as the first point corresponding to an increase in the oxygen ventilatory equivalent (EO₂); whereas a concomitant increase of EO₂ and the carbon dioxide ventilatory equivalent (ECO₂) is considered evidence of VT₂ occurrence. The continuous incremental exercise used for this VTs determination generally lasted for 8–12 min. However, depending on the type of training program employed for pathological subjects or the sport considered, training sessions can last more that 1 h (especially for endurance sports like road cycling or long/middle distance running). Thus, we wonder if the power output (or running speed) associated with VTs is altered as function of the exercise duration. In other words, is the exercise intensity prescribed to a subject using the conventional determination of VTs still valid after 1 h or more of training?

To the best of our knowledge, no study has examined the putative effects of a previous submaximal exercise (i.e. simulated training session) on the subsequent occurrence of VTs. Because numerous possible neural and humoral mechanisms may be postulated as generating VTs, it could be assumed that the accumulation of metabolites (e.g. potassium, lactate, …) occurring in muscles during a submaximal exercise could influence the subsequent occurrence of VTs.

The present study was designed to test the hypothesis that the power output associated to the ventilatory thresholds is reduced after a short simulated training session (i.e. 34 min).

Methods

Thirteen healthy males volunteered to participate in this study [age: 26.2 (23.5; 29.0) years, height: 179.0 (176.1; 181.9) cm, body mass: 76.5 (71.9; 80.9) kg, body mass index: 23.8 (22.6; 25.1)] but were unaware of the nature of the experiment. Eight were ice hockey players and five were recreational sportsmen. All of them were instructed to refrain from intense physical activities during the 2 days prior to testing. They were informed of risk and discomfort associated with the experimental procedures before they gave their written consent to participate. The study was approved by the local ethics committee and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Each subject performed the same incremental cycling test to exhaustion on an electrically braked cycle ergometer (Excalibur sport, Lode, The Netherlands), on two separate occasions. The two tests (i.e. control test and experimental test) were performed at the same period of the day and were separated by 2–5 days. The experimental test was preceded by a 34-min of simulated cycling training session. A few days later, 6 out of the 13 subjects performed a third incremental cycling test identical to the control test (called retest), in order to assess the reproducibility of the VTs and maximal values. Because pedaling rate can influence the VTs occurrence the participants were asked, for all the incremental tests, to maintain the same pedaling rate (±2 rpm) freely chosen at the end of the warm-up period of the control test.

The incremental cycling test consisted of a 5-min warm-up period at 100 W, after which the power output was increased by 20 W min⁻¹ (Fig. 1A). This work rate increment was chosen to bring the subjects to the limit of their tolerance in about 10 min, as recommended by Buchfuhrer et al. and in accordance with conventional protocols. Except pedaling rate, no other feedback (VO₂, power output, time) was given to the participants. The progressive test was stopped when the pedaling rate could not be maintained.

After the control test (2–5 days), the subjects performed the experimental test consisting of the same incremental cycling test preceded by a 34-min simulated training session (Fig. 1B). They were asked to pedal at 100 W for 5-min before performing a 3-min bout at the power output corresponding to VT₁ (determined during the control test). After a 5-min active recovery period at 140 W, they performed two bouts of 3-min (separated by 10-min at 140 W) at the power output corresponding to VT₂ (determined during the control test). Participants then observed a final 5-min recovery period at 100 W before starting the same incremental cycling test performed during the control test. Overall, the intensity distribution of the simulated training session (i.e. 73.6% below VT₁, 8.8% at VT₁ and 17.6% at VT₂) was very similar to the classical training dis-
The 34-min simulated training session elicited a mean power output of 160 (155; 164) W and a mean oxygen uptake of 33.1 (31.4; 34.7) mL min⁻¹ kg⁻¹. These data correspond to 45.9 (44.6; 47.2)% of MPT and 60.4 (57.2; 63.6)% of VO₂ peak. Respiratory and ventilatory values before each incremental test were averaged during the last minute at 100 W (just before the beginning of the first workload increment): Vₑ, VO₂ and EqCO₂ values were significantly higher for the experimental test condition (see supplemental file, Appendix A).

MAP, MPT, Vₑpeak and total test time significantly decreased between control test and experimental test (Table 1). However, VO₂ peak was unaffected by the previous simulated training session.

The figure attached to the electronic version of this article (Appendix A) depicts an individual example of VTs determination using the ventilatory equivalents method. The Kappa test revealed that the intra-observers reliability of the VTs determination was almost perfect (κ = 0.91 and 0.89 for VT₁ and VT₂, respectively). The simulated training session (i.e. previous submaximal exercise) did not modify VT₁ occurrence when expressed in time, power output, VO₂, % of MPT, % of VO₂ peak, and
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Different authors reported higher simulated ventilatory thresholds compared to the retest in 76% of total test time ($p > 0.05$) (Fig. 2A; Table 1). In contrast, VT2 was influenced by the simulated training session, showing a significant earlier occurrence during the experimental test when VT2 was expressed in time (corresponding to a decrease of 7.6%) (Table 1) and power output (Fig. 2B). No change was found when VT2 was expressed in VO2, % of MPT, % of VO2 peak and in % of total time (Table 1).

Appendix A (supplemental file) demonstrates good reproducibility between control test and retest ($n = 6$; ICC ranged from 0.79 to 1.00) for both VTs and maximal values.

### Discussion

The present study reports for the first time that a short simulated training session alters the subsequent maximal performance and the occurrence of the second ventilatory threshold. Indeed, VT2 appeared at a significant lower output when the incremental exercise was preceded by the short simulated training session.

On a methodological point of view, numerous authors reported higher test–retest correlation scores using ventilatory equivalents to detect the VTs. Caiozzo et al. showed that the use of different ventilatory variables does not add significant improvement in term of validity in the estimation of VTs based on EqO2 and EqCO2. For this reason, VTs were only determined with the ventilatory equivalents method described by Reinhard et al. In this view, the high ICC and low S.E.M. values obtained between the control test and retest ($n = 6$, see Appendix A) suggest good reproducibility for VT1 and VT2. Furthermore, the Kappa test reported an almost perfect intra-observers reliability suggesting a good precision of our VTs determination.

Data of the present study mainly shows the earlier occurrence of VT2 after a short simulated training session. To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has focused on the effect of a previous sub-maximal exercise on VT2 occurrence and only few studies are available concerning VT1. Black et al. reported that a previous exercise induces a later occurrence of VT1 (i.e. named aerobic threshold by the authors). It could be explained by the recent results reported by Raymer et al., showing a delayed onset of intracellular acidosis during incremental exercise when preceded by a bout of heavy-intensity exercise 6 min prior. However, the previous exercise performed in these studies (i.e. 10 min at VT1 and 6 min at 70% of peak power output for Black et al. and Raymer et al., respectively) result in a “warm-up” effect and not in a simulated training session. We would suggest that our 34-min session might have been too long to benefit from the positive effect described by these authors. Later, Marles et al. showed that intense previous
exertions by sufficient accumulation of metabolites has occurred in a fatigued muscle could play a key role in the hyperventilation\textsuperscript{21} and in the occurrence of VTs.\textsuperscript{20,22} Overall, it can be postulated that fatigue would occur during the short simulated training session and that the 10-min of active recovery at 100 W, between the end of the last bout at VT\textsubscript{2} and the beginning of the workload increment, was not sufficient for a complete recovery. This would induce the earlier occurrence of VT\textsubscript{2} and decrease the performance since our participants exhibited lower maximal values. According to Appendix A (supplemental file), it appears that baseline VO\textsubscript{2} (\textit{i.e.} during the last minute at 100 W) was higher on average by 160 mL/min for the experimental test compared to the control test. It suggests a lower gross efficiency that would be caused by a greater accumulation of metabolites. The fact that VT\textsubscript{1} was not significantly different from the control test (192 W vs. 183 W) could be an issue of statistical power (type II error) rather than physiological explanation or due to the greater variability of VT\textsubscript{1} determination. Moreover, it should be kept in mind that the difference between control and experimental test found for VT\textsubscript{2} is small (\textit{i.e.} 15 W) regarding the moderate accuracy of some parameters. However, we can postulate that this difference would be higher after a longer training session.

Determination of the specific intensities associated with VTs is very useful in prescribing adequate loads for training programs. Several other studies have demonstrated the efficacy of exercise training at VT\textsubscript{1} in the treatment of patients suffering from chronic heart failure\textsuperscript{23} or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).\textsuperscript{24} Despite the fact that training at higher intensities has minimal benefit over the minimum intensity in sedentary and pathological subjects, it is crucial for conditioned subjects. Indeed, various studies have shown that further improvements in performance of trained subjects can only be achieved using high intensity interval training.\textsuperscript{25} Thus, numerous training protocols including exercises performed at a power output or speed corresponding to VT\textsubscript{2} were developed and recommended.\textsuperscript{5,26} For instance, Dufour et al.\textsuperscript{26} reported a training session in highly trained male runners which consisted of a 10-min warm-up period at 60% VO\textsubscript{2} peak, followed by two 20-min periods at running speed corresponding to VT\textsubscript{2}, separated by 5-min recovery at 60% VO\textsubscript{2} peak. Taking into consideration the results of our study, it can be reasonably hypothesized that the last bout at VT\textsubscript{2} (after 35-min of exercise) was really performed at an higher intensity than VT\textsubscript{2}. Thus, we can postulate that an exercise prescribed in respect to power
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**Figure 2**  Time associated to the first (A) and second (B) ventilatory threshold is depicted for each participant for the control and the experimental test. *p* < 0.05.
output associated with VT$_2$ would be difficult to perform at the end of a training session. In support of this idea, Lepretre et al.$^{27}$ recently showed that heavy exercise (i.e., intensity fixed between the lactate threshold and VO$_2$ peak) can be maintained two times longer under control of VO$_2$ compared to power output.

On the other hand, as mentioned in the review of Laursen and Jenkins,$^{28}$ training intensity can also be based on a percentage of MPT, independently of VTs. Because the results clearly showed that MPT is reduced after a short simulated training session, it could also be assumed that such intensities are overestimated after a short period of the training session.

Lastly, various authors$^{29}$ used VTs in order to study the distribution of training/competition intensities. For instance, based on power output or speed recordings, they distinguished time spent at (1) low intensity <VT$_1$, (2) moderate intensity between VT$_1$ and VT$_2$ and (3) high intensity >VT$_2$. In some cases, the training/competition duration can exceed 6 h (i.e., some stages of the Tour de France). Because we showed that exercise intensity associated with VT$_2$ decreases after a short training session, we could assume that this specific intensity also decreases during these training/competition periods. It could therefore induce some alterations in the distribution of the total time spent in each zone of intensity and specially cause an underestimation of the time spent above VT$_2$.

In conclusion, the present results shows that power output associated with VT$_2$ and MPT is reduced after a short training session. As a consequence, training intensities based on power output (associated with VT$_2$ or in %MPT) would be overestimated (i.e., higher metabolic state) after a short period of the training session. This casts doubt therefore, on the pertinence of using the power output (or running speed by extension) for prescription of exercise intensities during prolonged training sessions. In contrast, because VO$_2$ associated with VT$_2$ and MPT is unchanged after the same short training session, we could assume that exercise intensity based on VO$_2$ would be more stable. Consequently, because the same exercise prescribed at VT$_2$ using power output or VO$_2$ would not induce the same metabolic intensity of training (and very certainly, different training adaptations), it questions which method (power output/running speed or VO$_2$) should be used to monitor training intensity. In other words, which is the best mean (power output/running speed or VO$_2$) to monitor training intensity? Because heart rate (HR) measurements are often used by sportmen, it could also be interesting to study the effects of the same short training session on the HR associated to VTs and MPT. Overall, more research is needed to elucidate these crucial points for coaches and clinicians.

**Practical implications**

- Our results could explain that an exercise prescribed in respect to power output associated with VT$_2$ or defined in % of MPT would be difficult to perform at the end of a training session.
- Power output (or running speed by extension) should be used with caution for the study of distribution of training/competition intensities (in respect to VT$_2$ or in % of MPT) because it could certainly induce an underestimation of the physiological demand.
- Establish training/rehabilitation intensities with VO$_2$ or power output (associated with VT$_2$ or in % of MPT) might not match the same exercise intensities (in term of physiological constraint) and thus would induce different training adaptations.
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