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ABSTRACT

Objectives To investigate the evolution of anthropometric characteristics in World Cup rugby players and identify elements associated with performance.

Design Age, weight and height were collected for 2692 World Cup rugby players as well as rankings in each World Cup, and collective experience of winners, finalists, semifinalists and quarterfinalists in comparison to the rest of the competitors. Anthropometric parameters were compared according to age and position (back and forwards).

Results From 1987 to 2007, forwards and backs have become heavier by 6.63 and 6.68 kg and taller by 0.61 and 1.09 cm, respectively. The collective experience of the forwards’ pack is a value increasing with the final ranking attained, as well as the weight of forwards and the height of backs.

Conclusions For all Rugby World Cups, the highest performing teams have the tallest backs and heaviest forwards with the highest percentage of collective experience.

INTRODUCTION

The advent of professionalism in rugby was accompanied by an increase in the body size of players, observed either in the French championship or international matches during the Bledisloe Cup. This trend follows the evolution of the constraints of this activity, in which the number of tackles or rucks per game increased by a factor of 4 in 30 years. Eaves and Hughes also showed that the incidence of rucks in five and six nations championships had increased from 62.4 events/game in 1988 to 134.4 in 2002. Austin et al suggest that the development of modern rugby union has resulted in an increase in high-intensity activity and has become more physically demanding due to increases in total duration and speed of play. Thus, increased mass and height are desirable characteristics, such as in American football where the largest and most powerful players are selected. Indeed, overall size appears as a predictor of efficiency: a strong association exists between mass, height and the individual and collective performances. Teams with the tallest and heaviest players outperform others in the 1999 Rugby World Cup. However, rugby union generates many phases of contact, tackling, ruck, maul and scrum, which necessitate various physical demands requiring players to participate in intensive efforts interspersed with some periods of lower intensity. In fact, rugby performance involves a large number of parameters dependent on the specific constraints of the activity. Among these, strength, speed, aerobic and anaerobic power, ability to change direction and sport-specific attributes are influential. Performance is also related to collective efficacy. Indeed, groups with a strong sense of collective efficacy are more likely to succeed than those who do not share this particular attribute and maintain their group performance longer.

In other sports such as soccer, a greater percentage of new recruits is associated with a lower number of points scored per game. In rugby, the score of collective efficacy has been estimated to account for 22% of the variance in positive affect in prematch.

As rugby becomes more physically demanding, we hypothesised that players’ mass, height and collective experience are all crucial parameters in World Cup performance progression.

METHODS

This study aimed to investigate changes in the height and mass of rugby players who took part in all Rugby World Cups and identify elements associated with higher performance.

ETHICS

This study uses a research protocol qualified as non-interventional, in which ‘...all acts are performed in a normal manner, without any supplemental or unusual procedure of diagnosis or monitoring.’ (Article L1121-1 of the French Public Health Code). According to the law, its approval therefore did not fall under the responsibility of a committee for the protection of persons (CPR). For these reasons, it is not necessary to obtain informed consent from the athletes evaluated. This study is designed and monitored by the IRMES (Institut de Recherche bioMédicale et Épidémiologique du Sport) scientific committee.

Data collection

Data for age, mass and height were collected for all players who participated in the Rugby World Cup from 1987 to 2007. Data were collected from official sites of national teams such as www.ffr.fr, www.allblacks.com and www.fijirugby.com, and cross-classified by various sources such as www.itsrugby.fr, www.lionsrugby.com or www.rugbyrama.fr. The annual version of Rugbyrama website collects official data (for age, mass and sizes) provided by the clubs. These data were derived from the longitudinal follow-up of players, with measurements done by each team physician.
Study design

Players were separated in two populations: backs (player numbers 9 through 15), and forwards (player numbers 1 through 8). Each team performance was analysed according to age (in years), and biometric parameters (height in centimetres, mass in kilograms and body mass index (BMI) in kg m$^{-2}$) of both categories.

Analysis of the entire team was performed, regrouping all players within a team, both starters and substitutes. We analysed all the selected players (starters and substitutes), as a World Cup is won with the entire team, as players get replaced within matches and from match to match.

Analyses were also carried out for international rookies (international rookies: rugby players participating for the first time at World Cup).

Collective experience was defined as the percentage of players in each team who participated in the previous World Cup:

$$\text{% of collective experience for forwards} = \frac{N \text{ forwards who participate in world cup before}}{N \text{ forwards}} \times 100$$

We analysed collective experience, such as mass and height, according to player position (back, forward) and also according to the level reached by each team: winners, finalists, semifinalists and quarter-finalists of World Cup versus the rest of competitors.

Statistical analysis

Percentages of collective experience were compared by $\chi^2$ test. Evolutions of biometric parameters were compared over the six World Cups, between forwards and backs, and according to final ranking. Biometric parameters between categories were compared by Student’s $t$ tests. The changes of parameters between World Cups were tested using analysis of variance, and with multiple linear regression to test the time effect. The level of significance was set at $p=0.05$.

RESULTS

The sample population is composed of 2692 players, including 1457 forwards and 1235 backs. New internationals include 1044 forwards and 866 backs.

Evolution of internationals’ rookies

For all World Cups age, height, mass and BMI are significantly higher for forwards than for backs (figure 1).

There is a significant evolutionary trend for increased mass and BMI, for forwards and backs as well as increased height in backs. Biometric characteristics of players increase between each World Cup, by 1.34 kg and 0.33 kg m$^{-2}$ on average for forwards, and by 1.46 kg and 0.30 kg m$^{-2}$ for backs (table 1).

Mass as a determinant of performance

For all World Cups, the forwards in winners’ teams, finalists, semifinalists and quarter-finalists are significantly heavier than forwards of the other teams (figure 2). Indeed the mean (±SD) mass of forwards whose teams win the World Cup is 108.5 (±7.9) kg while the rest of competitors weight only 106.1 (±9.3) kg. For backs, we also see this significant trend in semifinalists and quarter-finalists, but the trend did not quite reach significance for winners and finalists ($p=0.055$ and 0.072, respectively). Backs whose teams reach quarter-finals weigh 88.3 (±7.7) kg while backs whose teams do not reach quarter-finals weigh 85.6 (±8.1) kg.
Table 1 ANOVA and linear regression for backs and forwards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ANOVA</th>
<th>ANOVA Adjusted for age</th>
<th>Trend (adjusted for age) for Rugby World Cup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forwards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>102.42</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>107.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>187.6</td>
<td>187.15</td>
<td>189.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>29.17</td>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>30.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>26.79</td>
<td>26.80</td>
<td>26.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>82.96</td>
<td>84.46</td>
<td>86.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>180.31</td>
<td>179.32</td>
<td>180.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>25.50</td>
<td>26.25</td>
<td>26.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>25.33</td>
<td>24.75</td>
<td>24.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*By 4 years unit
ANOVA, analysis of variance; BMI, body mass index

Figure 2 Mass in mean and SD of World Cups’ winners, finalists, semifinalists and quarter-finalists versus rest of competitors.*p<0.05 and #p<0.01.

Height as a determinant of performance
For all World Cups, the backs in winners’ teams, finalists, semifinalists and quarter-finalists are significantly taller than backs of the other teams (figure 3). Indeed the height of backs whose teams win World Cup is 182.4 (±5.4) cm, while it is only 180.9 (±5.8) cm for the rest of competitors. In forwards, we observe a similar trend that did not reach the accepted significance level (winners vs others p=0.072, finalists vs others p=0.051, semifinalists vs others p=0.12, quarter-finalist vs others p=0.089).

Collective experience as a determinant of performance
For all World Cups, forwards of the winning team have a significantly greater collective experience than forwards of all other teams: 39.6% for victorious teams’ forwards and 31.7% for forwards of the other teams (figure 4). In addition, collective experience of forwards whose teams participated in a final, semifinal and quarter-final is also significantly higher than those teams who failed to qualify for these rounds. For example, 38.1% of finalist’s forwards have already played a World Cup against 31.1% in forwards of the other teams.

DISCUSSION
In this study, we find that between 1987 and 2007, mean mass and BMI of all forwards and backs increased significantly in the Rugby World Cups. This confirms the trends observed since the introduction of professionalism, in French rugby players, or those taking part in the Bledisloe Cup, or emerging teams of international rugby. Given the constraints of the game which directs the play towards more and more physical confrontations, rugby becomes a sport where heavy players become increasingly important: the maximisation of builds and the quest for ‘super-sizes’ are inherent to international level rugby, as well as in other sports. Indeed, development of lean body mass is desirable in rugby to increase speed, strength and consequently power. The increases in mass and BMI can be explained by increases in training load coupled with a nutritional follow-up, and for some and sporadically, through the use of anabolic steroids. Indeed, the World Anti-Doping Agency in its annual report highlights that 39 of the 7255 rugby players were tested positive. This low percentage suggests that this phenomenon is not more prominent in rugby than in other sports.
Changing rules also drive changes in the size of players. Rules generate the game, which in turn generates the morphology requirements. Thus, the increase in physical confrontation leads to a quest for super-size players.

For all World Cups studied here, forwards were significantly older, taller, heavier and with larger BMI than backs, a result consistent with previous studies.\(^1\) \(^2\) \(^3\) This is principally explained by the profile of these positions: forwards spend significantly more time tackling\(^2\) \(^2\) \(^3\) and in contact phases\(^1\) \(^3\) and high-intensity activities that backs.\(^3\) Moreover, being heavier and larger allows them to generate and tolerate greater impacts and to provide strength and power during the phases of scrums, rucks and mauls.

We show, in all World Cups, that the mass of forwards is one of the determinants of team performance in rugby. Indeed, teams who win a World Cup, or reach finals, semifinals and quarter-finals, have heavier forwards than the other teams, as already observed in the 1999 Rugby World Cup.\(^6\) In addition, we observe the same trend in backs. Rugby performance is of course more complex and multifactorial. In addition to energy resources and physical skills (strength, rate of force development, acceleration, power, endurance) considered as determinants of performance in rugby, some, but not all, of these qualities may be inherent to larger players.\(^17\) \(^18\) Indeed, as shown by Bejan et al, in many species including humans, force, speed and power increase with mass.\(^24\) Even though other skills such as dexterity, technical address, tactical and psychological qualities may be equally important, a criterion as simple as body mass remains a major parameter associated with success in the final of the World Cup.

Teams that either win a World Cup, or reach finals, semifinals and quarter-finals, are also characterised by taller backs than the others. These data are consistent with a previous study\(^6\) reporting that the 1999 Rugby World Cup finalists squads were taller than other competitors. Finding backs participating in the later matches of the tournament as taller than their counterparts can be explained by the specificities of their positions. Indeed, being taller confers advantages at the highlights of the game (ie, receptions under kicks and protection in isolated rucks in wait for the forwards’ support).

Another key result of this study is showing that winners, finalists, semifinalists and quarter-finalists have forwards with a superior collective experience compared with other teams. Collective experience gained from previous World Cups and the four competition seasons between them is a performance factor for forwards. Moreover, this percentage grows from quarter-finalist teams (33.4%) to the winning teams (39.6%). Collective experience of forwards gives a clear advantage during phases of collective combat. The art of working together, sharing the action either on offence or defence is the essence of rugby. The collective investment and shared effort in all forwards’ actions is crucial, whether in rucks to keep the ball, synchronisation during line-out, maul for placement, collective push and orientation in scrums. Containing and guiding teammates during scrums starts with a collective link, placement and work throughout the push. This element of game combines physical skill and a strong complicity, acquired over the years. Collectively adapting to adverse scrums, providing a common effort, direct scrum pressure, meeting together in a difficult situation requires a shared knowledge and combined action. This action knowledge is central to forwards’ play and is apparently acquired more slowly. This may be why teams winning the World Cup have forwards with a collective experience significantly higher than those which do not win.

We show that, some factors like size and experience might be predictors of success. However, it is probable that there are other factors that explain why only four countries have ever won the Rugby World Cup. Indeed, winning teams in a Rugby World Cup may also owe their victory to their nation’s economic, historical, political and technological investment in this sport.\(^25\)
CONCLUSION
We show that forwards and backs are becoming heavier from one World Cup to the next. Although performance in rugby is complex and multifactorial, simple factors as mass and height are discriminatory in the armament race. In fact, teams with heavier forwards and taller backs perform better than others. In addition, teams that win a World Cup, arrived in finals, semifinals and quarter-finals have forwards with greater collective experience than those who do not participate in these matches.
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