

## Impaired Performance of the Smash Stroke in Badminton Induced by Muscle Fatigue

Yann Le Mansec, Jérôme Perez, Quentin Rouault, Julie Doron, Marc Jubeau

► **To cite this version:**

Yann Le Mansec, Jérôme Perez, Quentin Rouault, Julie Doron, Marc Jubeau. Impaired Performance of the Smash Stroke in Badminton Induced by Muscle Fatigue. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, Human Kinetics, 2020, 15 (1), pp.52-59. 10.1123/ijsp.2018-0697 . hal-02521508

**HAL Id: hal-02521508**

**<https://hal-insep.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02521508>**

Submitted on 27 Mar 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Original investigation

2 **Impaired performance of the smash stroke in**  
3 **badminton induced by muscle fatigue**

4  
5 **Le Mansec Y<sub>1</sub>, Perez J<sub>1</sub>, Rouault Q<sub>1</sub>, Doron J<sub>1,2</sub> & Jubeau M<sub>1</sub>.**

6 Affiliations

7 <sub>1</sub> Laboratory Movement, Interactions, Performance (EA4334),  
8 Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of Nantes, France

9 <sub>2</sub> Laboratory of Sport, Expertise and Performance (EA7370),  
10 French National Institute of Sport, Expertise and Performance,  
11 Research Department, Paris, France

12

13 Corresponding author :

14 Marc Jubeau  
15 Laboratoire "Motricité, Interactions, Performance" - EA 4334  
16 Faculté des Sciences du Sport  
17 Université de Nantes  
18 25 bis Boulevard Guy Mollet - BP 72206  
19 44 322 Nantes cedex 3  
20 France  
21  
22 Tel : 00 33 (0)2 51 83 70 44  
23 Fax : 00 33 (0)2 51 83 70 45  
24 E-mail : [marc.jubeau@univ-nantes.fr](mailto:marc.jubeau@univ-nantes.fr)

25

26 **Running head :** Fatigue and badminton smash stroke.

27 Abstract : 219 words

28 Text : 3462 words

29 Figures : 2 ; Tables : 2

30

31 **Muscle fatigue impairs the performance of the smash stroke**  
32 **in badminton**

33 **Abstract**

34 **Purpose:** The main aim of the present study was to evaluate the  
35 effects of muscle fatigue on badminton performance during a  
36 smash stroke. **Methods:** Seventeen young well-trained players  
37 completed twenty forehand smash twice (pre and post fatigue  
38 protocol) and both speed and precision of the strokes were  
39 measured. The fatigue protocol consisted in ten series of ten  
40 maximal counter movement jump (CMJ, 3 s rest in-between)  
41 followed by eight lunges. Perception of effort and CMJ  
42 performance during each series were also measured to assess  
43 fatigue. **Results:** Shuttlecock speed decreased moderately (-  
44 3.3%) but significantly after the fatigue protocol ( $P < 0.001$ ,  
45  $\eta_p^2 = 0.671$ ). Precision significantly decreased after the fatigue  
46 protocol (-10.3%,  $P = 0.001$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = 0.473$ ). The decrease in  
47 precision was mainly due to an increased number of faults  
48 ( $P = 0.006$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = 0.378$ ,  $d_z = 0.756$ ) and to a decrease in accuracy  
49 ( $P = 0.066$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = 0.195$ ,  $d_z = 0.478$ ). **Conclusion:** The present study  
50 showed that fatigue impairs the performance during specific  
51 badminton skills. Moreover, by showing a slight decrease in  
52 speed and a large decrease in accuracy of the shuttlecock when  
53 fatigue is experienced, the present study suggested that, as  
54 previously observed in other racket sports, the speed of the  
55 missile appears to be the key factor used by the players to win  
56 the rally. Coaches and physical trainers should therefore develop  
57 intervention aiming to limit the negative impact of fatigue on  
58 badminton strokes.

59

60 **Keywords:** sport motor skills, racket sports, speed, accuracy

61

## 62 INTRODUCTION

63 As a racket sport, it is well-known that performance in  
64 badminton is multifactorial including physiological  
65 psychological, technical and/or tactical parameters<sup>1</sup>. However,  
66 the impact of each of these parameters on the ability to perform  
67 at high-level is still a matter of debate. For instance, Ooi et al.<sup>2</sup>  
68 did not observe differences between elite and sub-elite  
69 Malaysian players when performing a battery of physical  
70 performance tests, suggesting that other factors, such as  
71 technical skills, were possibly of more importance<sup>2</sup>. This finding  
72 is also supported by Chin et al.<sup>3</sup>, who found a low correlation  
73 between a specific aerobic field-test and the ranking of the  
74 players. By contrast, Phomsoupha and Laffaye<sup>4</sup> showed that the  
75 speed of the shuttlecock evolved linearly with the level of the  
76 players, allowing to differentiate high-skilled and elite players.  
77 Similarly, Sakurai and Ohtsuki<sup>5</sup> showed that the probability of  
78 hitting a target, i.e. accuracy, was greater for the skilled players  
79 when compared to unskilled.

80 Neuromuscular fatigue, which can be defined as a loss of force  
81 (or power) production capacity accompanied by an increase in  
82 the effort required to perform the exercise<sup>6</sup> generally occurs  
83 during physical activity. As previously observed in other racket  
84 sports<sup>7,8</sup>, neuromuscular fatigue, demonstrated by a reduction of  
85 maximal voluntary contraction force, has been also reported  
86 after 1-h of a simulated badminton game (-11% and -18% for the  
87 knee extensors and the knee flexors, respectively)<sup>9</sup>. Due to the  
88 high influence of technical parameters on performance in  
89 badminton, it is of critical importance to evaluate the impact of  
90 muscle fatigue on specific motor skills, which appears to be the  
91 most relevant parameters at high level<sup>2,3</sup>. In the setting of goal-  
92 directed movement assessed during racket sports, both speed and  
93 precision of the projectile are the two relevant technical  
94 parameters to describe the quality of a stroke<sup>4,10,11</sup>. To date, very  
95 few studies have attempted to emphasize the paradigm between  
96 the movement speed and the movement precision, i.e., speed-  
97 accuracy trade-off<sup>12</sup>, during the same task in a non-fatigued and  
98 fatigued condition during racket sports<sup>10,11,13</sup>.

99 Missenard et al.<sup>14</sup> showed that muscle fatigue (elbow flexors and  
100 extensors) impaired a pointing task by increasing the movement  
101 duration to guarantee task success, i.e., precision. From an  
102 ecological aspect, several studies have previously investigated  
103 the impact of muscle fatigue on specific sport motor skills by  
104 measuring simultaneously these parameters during racket sports.  
105 These studies demonstrated that precision is largely affected in  
106 fatigued condition in tennis<sup>11</sup> and table tennis<sup>15</sup> whereas ball  
107 speed is less deteriorated. However, this result was not  
108 systematic<sup>13</sup>. To the best of our knowledge, no study has

109 attempted to evaluate the impact of muscle fatigue on sport  
110 motor skills during a specific badminton task.

111 This study aimed therefore to evaluate the impact of muscle  
112 fatigue on badminton-specific technical performance. To do that,  
113 we have first developed a field test to evaluate the reliability for  
114 both precision and shuttlecock speed during a smash stroke,  
115 which is classically considered as the most powerful stroke,  
116 generally used for winning the rally<sup>16</sup> (Study 1). Thereafter, this  
117 test was performed before and after a fatiguing protocol (Study  
118 2) to measure in what extent fatigue could impair the speed  
119 and/or the accuracy of the shuttlecock during a specific  
120 badminton stroke.

121

## 122 **METHODS**

### 123 *Participants*

124 Fifty-five participants (mean  $\pm$  SD age:  $22.7 \pm 5.7$  yr;  
125 height:  $179.9 \pm 6.9$  cm; mass:  $71.9 \pm 6.2$  kg) volunteered to  
126 participate in this study. All participants or legal representatives  
127 for minors gave their written consent after being informed about  
128 the procedures of the protocols, which were approved by local  
129 ethics committee of Nantes, in accordance with the Declaration  
130 of Helsinki. Among these players, thirty-eight (males only, mean  
131  $\pm$  SD age:  $25.3 \pm 5.0$  yr; height:  $181.2 \pm 5.5$  cm; mass:  $74.1 \pm 4.8$   
132 kg) participated in Study 1, i.e., reproducibility of the specific  
133 test, and seventeen (M=11, F=6,  $17.0 \pm 0.8$  yr,  $177.0 \pm 8.8$  cm,  
134  $67.1 \pm 6.4$  kg) participated in Study 2, i.e., effects of muscle  
135 fatigue on badminton-specific technical performance.

### 136 *Study design*

#### 137 **Study 1**

138 Players were divided into four groups according to their single  
139 ranking in the French Federation of Badminton (FFBad) and  
140 their training volume (Table 1). In the high trained group (HT,  
141 n=9), all players were high-trained ( $4.9 \pm 1.3$  times per week)  
142 and participated in national competitions. In the moderate  
143 trained group (MT, n=10), players were moderate to well-trained  
144 ( $3.1 \pm 1.0$  times per week) and participated in a regional  
145 championship. In the low trained group (LT, n=9), players were  
146 less trained ( $2.0 \pm 0.7$  times per week) and participated in a local  
147 championship. The untrained group (UT, n=10) was composed  
148 by sports-science-students, without experience in badminton and  
149 not ranked by the FFBad.

150 After a 15-min standardized warm-up and once familiarized (10  
151 trials) with the procedures, all the participants performed one

152 session which consisted of performing the specific test (see  
153 specific test) twice (intra-session reliability), with a 10-min  
154 resting period in between. Thirty-three participants, among the  
155 38 participants initially recruited, participated in a second  
156 session to assess the inter-session reliability. A minimum of 7  
157 days interval was defined between both sessions.

## 158 Study 2

159 All participants (n=17) were recruited from French National  
160 badminton training centers and were well-trained ( $15.5 \pm 1.8$   
161 h.wk<sup>-1</sup>), regularly participated in national competitions in the  
162 French championship, and none of them had any known  
163 muscle/tendon injury for at least one year. One session (duration:  
164 ~ 45 min) was performed to assess the effects of muscle fatigue  
165 on badminton-specific technical performance. All sessions took  
166 place in a homologated specific facility comprising two courts.  
167 All participants were familiarized with all procedures at the  
168 beginning of the session. Before and immediately after (~30 s)  
169 completion of the fatigue protocol (see below for further details)  
170 participants performed the specific badminton test (see specific  
171 test) to measure the quality (precision and speed of the  
172 shuttlecock) of the smash. To control for the effect of the  
173 fatiguing protocol, both subjective (perception of effort) and  
174 objective (maximal height during a countermovement jump  
175 [CMJ]) measurements of fatigue were assessed.

176 We quantified badminton-specific technical performance by  
177 measuring stroke parameters during the specific test previously  
178 described (Study 1). The test took place in a second court, close  
179 to the one used during the fatigue protocol. To take into account  
180 the ecological aspects of badminton smash<sup>17</sup>, male players were  
181 asked to jump when performed the stroke while this rule was not  
182 mandatory for the female players.

## 183 Specific test

184 The test consisted in 20 forehand overhead smash, which is  
185 considered as a typical offensive stroke. They were asked to hit,  
186 alternatively, two targets placed on the court (Fig 1A). The 2  
187 rectangular targets (212 cm length/40 cm width) were positioned  
188 on the sides and were divided into 2 areas (212 cm length/20 cm  
189 width; Fig 1A). The players were instructed to hit the shuttlecock  
190 (Aerosensa 50, Yonex®, Tokyo, Japan) for winning the rally as  
191 they do during an official game. Ten trials were evaluated for  
192 each target. The shuttlecock was sent by a robot (BKL,  
193 Badenko®, Pampelonne, France) to ensure protocol  
194 standardization and repeatability for shuttlecock speed,  
195 trajectory and placement. For each trial, the robot sent the  
196 shuttlecock to the center of the court, placing it 75-80 cm away  
197 from the baseline (Fig 1A). The shuttlecock was delivered by the

198 robot every 3 s with a rising trajectory. New shuttlecocks were  
199 used for each session and were replaced when they were  
200 damaged.

201 The performance assessment was explained to the participants  
202 before starting the test. During the test, participants were  
203 strongly encouraged and informed on their outcome in order to  
204 maintain vigilance and concentration throughout the procedure.

### 205 **Muscle fatigue**

206 To induce muscle fatigue (study 2), participants completed 10  
207 series of two specific movements frequently used by the  
208 badminton players, i.e., CMJ<sup>17</sup> and lunges<sup>9,17,18</sup>. Each series  
209 consisted of ten CMJ performed every 3 s. CMJ were realized  
210 hands akimbo, and subjects were asked to perform each trial  
211 maximally. Once the CMJ performed, subjects kept their own  
212 racket and had to realize 8 lunges with no rest between the two  
213 exercises. During the lunges task, participants were asked to  
214 touch alternatively the right and the left parts of the net with their  
215 racket (1-m width). Between two lunges, participants moved 1-  
216 m behind the short service line before continuing the exercise  
217 (Fig 1B). Once the exercise completed, subjects came back  
218 walking (10-s) to the baseline, rated their perceived exertion, and  
219 started a new series, until 10 series were performed. During the  
220 last series, subjects only performed 10 CMJ, without execution  
221 of lunges to better assess the fatigue induced by our protocol,  
222 since CMJ represents the objective measure of fatigue used in  
223 this study. The instruction was given to the players to directly  
224 move on the badminton test after the last series of CMJ. This  
225 protocol was chosen to induce a level of fatigue consistent with  
226 the one observed during a real badminton game. We assume that  
227 it represented a good compromise, taking into account the  
228 duration of the protocol (~7 min), the number of lunges and  
229 jumps performed<sup>9</sup>. To maintain motivation, subjects were  
230 strongly encouraged during the entire protocol.

231

### 232 *Data processing*

#### 233 **Shuttlecock speed**

234 For each smash, the speed of the shuttlecock hit by the player  
235 was measured with a radar (Stalker ATS ii, Stalker Radar®,  
236 Plano, Texas, USA) at a frequency of 50 Hz and an accuracy of  
237  $\pm 0.041$  m.s<sup>-1</sup>. The radar was located 3 m behind the player, at a  
238 height of 2.50 m<sup>4</sup>. To ensure recording of the speed data, an  
239 experimenter manually pointed the radar towards the area  
240 targeted by the player. All data were recorded on a personal  
241 laptop (software: Stalker ATS 5.0, Plano, Texas, USA). The  
242 shuttlecock speed for each of the 20 smashes was measured. The

243 mean speed was then calculated by averaging the 20 speed  
244 values.

## 245 **Precision**

246 The evaluation of precision was assessed by using a video  
247 camera (AHD-H12 VAZ2S, Aiptek®, Rowland Street, Ca,  
248 USA) for post-processing analysis. The camera was located 5 m  
249 behind the baseline opposite to the player and in the axis of the  
250 radar and the robot (Fig 1A). The following procedure was used:  
251 when the shuttlecock reached the part of the target nearest from  
252 the sideline, 3 points were granted (i.e., accuracy); 2 points when  
253 the shuttlecock reached the part of the target furthest from the  
254 sideline (i.e., consistency); 1 point when the shuttlecock reached  
255 the court but did not touch the targets (i.e., neutral); 0 point when  
256 a fault was committed (Fig 1A). This procedure gave a score  
257 between 0 and 60 for each series.

258 *Perception of effort (RPE)*. In study 2, perception of effort,  
259 defined as “the conscious sensation of how hard, heavy, and  
260 strenuous the physical task is”<sup>20</sup> was measured throughout the  
261 fatigue protocol. Participants were asked to rate the effort related  
262 to the physical task just performed by using the 6-20 Borg  
263 Scale<sup>21</sup> after the first nine series.

264 *CMJ*. In study 2, optojump photoelectric cells (Microgate,  
265 Bolzano, Italy) were used to precisely measure the jump  
266 height<sup>22</sup>. Fatigue was assessed by calculating the average of the  
267 height of the 10 CMJ performed from each series. We also  
268 compared CMJ performance between the average of the first  
269 three CMJs of the first series (trials 1-3) and the last three CMJs  
270 of the last series (trials 98-100). All data were recorded on a  
271 personal laptop with the appropriate software (Optojump  
272 software, version 3.01.0001) for further treatment.

273

## 274 *Statistical analysis*

275 Statistical tests were performed with Statistica®V6 software  
276 (Statsoft, Tulsa, USA) and G\*Power® software (version 3.1.6  
277 Universität Düsseldorf, Germany). Assumptions of normality  
278 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) and sphericity (Mauchly test) of  
279 data were checked as appropriate. Values are presented as mean  
280  $\pm$  SD.

## 281 **Study 1**

282 Speed and precision were compared across the four populations  
283 by using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (4 between-  
284 subjects factors) for the first series of the first day. Correlation  
285 analyses (Bravais-Pearson) were performed to determine

286 whether speed, precision, or performance index were correlated  
287 to the skill level (i.e., FFBad ranking). For each group, standard  
288 error of measurements (SEM), intraclass correlation coefficients  
289 (ICC) and coefficient of variation (CV) were calculated for both  
290 intra-day and inter-day<sup>23</sup>. Since we used a set time between  
291 sessions, the ICC (3, 1) was chosen from Shrout and Fleiss<sup>24</sup>.  
292 Inter-day reliability was assessed by using the first series of day  
293 1 vs. the first series of day 2.

## 294 Study 2

295 RPE and CMJ height were tested using one-factor (time)  
296 ANOVA with repeated measures. Stroke smash parameters  
297 (speed and precision) and the distribution, i.e., percentage of  
298 faults, neutral, consistency and accuracy, were then tested with  
299 one-way (time) ANOVA.

300

301 In both studies, the level of significance was set at  $P < 0.05$  and  
302 post-hoc analyses were performed when appropriated using  
303 HSD Tukey test for multiple comparisons. For the main effects  
304 of the ANOVAs, partial eta square ( $\eta_p^2$ ) are reported, with  
305 moderate and large effects considered for  $\eta_p^2 \geq 0.07$  and  $\geq 0.14$ ,  
306 respectively<sup>25</sup>. For the follow up tests, Cohen's effect sizes  $d$  are  
307 reported, with small, moderate and large effects considered for  $d$   
308  $\geq 0.2$ ,  $\geq 0.5$  and  $\geq 0.8$ , respectively<sup>25</sup>.

309

## 310 RESULTS

### 311 Study 1

#### 312 *Effect of expertise on performance factors*

##### 313 *Shuttlecock speed*

314 Our results showed a significant effect of expertise ( $\eta_p^2 = 0.954$ ;  
315  $P < 0.001$ ). Shuttlecock speed was higher for HT ( $158.1 \pm 5.5$   
316  $\text{km.h}^{-1}$ ) compared to MT, LT and UT ( $136.4 \pm 4.0 \text{ km.h}^{-1}$ ,  $121.0$   
317  $\pm 4.2 \text{ km.h}^{-1}$  and  $101.7 \pm 5.3 \text{ km.h}^{-1}$ ,  $d$  ranged from 4.51 to 10.44,  
318 respectively;  $P < 0.001$ ), for MT compared to LT and UT ( $d$   
319 ranged from 3.79 to 7.42, respectively,  $P < 0.001$ ), and LT  
320 compared to UT ( $d = 4.04$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). In addition, a significant  
321 correlation was observed between the speed of the shuttlecock  
322 and the ranking of the player ( $r = -0.81$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ;  $n = 28$ , UT  
323 excluded).

##### 324 *Precision*

325 A significant main effect was observed for precision ( $\eta_p^2=0.849$ ;  
326  $P<0.001$ ), showing that the score of precision (/60) was higher  
327 for HT ( $33.1 \pm 2.5$ ) compared to MT, LT and UT ( $26.1 \pm 2.4$ ,  
328  $21.3 \pm 1.7$  and  $18.4 \pm 3.0$ ,  $d$  ranged from 2.86 to 5.52,  
329 respectively;  $P<0.001$ ), for MT compared to LT and UT ( $d$   
330 ranged from 2.26 to 2.85, respectively;  $P=0.001$  and  $P<0.001$ ,  
331 respectively). A significant correlation was also found between  
332 precision and ranking of the players ( $r=-0.78$ ;  $P<0.001$ ;  $n=28$ ,  
333 UT excluded).

### 334 *Intra- and inter-session reliability*

335 The mean values, SD, ICC, SEM and CV are shown in Table 2  
336 for intra- and inter-session reliability for each group. Low CV  
337 were found for HT among all parameters, with low to high ICC.  
338 The CV for MT group were also acceptable for all variables and  
339 low to high ICC were also observed. The CV for LT were  
340 acceptable, and low to high ICC were found. Except for the  
341 speed of the shuttlecock, CV were generally higher for UT for  
342 intra- and inter-session, as well as ICC varied widely depending  
343 on the parameter considered.

## 344 Study 2

### 345 *Effects of the fatigue protocol*

#### 346 **Perception of effort.**

347 There was a significant effect of time on the perceived exertion  
348 ( $P<0.001$ ,  $\eta_p^2=0.852$ ). RPE progressively increased throughout  
349 the fatigue protocol (from  $12.0 \pm 1.7$  after the first series to  $18.8$   
350  $\pm 0.8$  after the 9<sup>th</sup> series).

#### 351 **CMJ height.**

352 There was a main effect of time on the height performed during  
353 the CMJ ( $-10.1 \pm 6.8\%$ ,  $P<0.001$ ,  $\eta_p^2=0.468$ , **Fig 2**). The best  
354 performance was measured after the first series ( $32.4 \pm 4.6$  cm)  
355 while the weakest performance was measured after the last series  
356 ( $29.0 \pm 4.0$  cm). The greatest difference was observed between  
357 the first three CMJs of the first series ( $32.9 \pm 4.6$  cm) and the last  
358 three CMJs of the last series ( $27.4 \pm 4.5$  cm), i.e.  $-16.9 \pm 9.8\%$   
359 ( $d_z=1.692$ , **Fig 2**).

### 360 *Effects of muscle fatigue on badminton performance*

#### 361 **Shuttlecock speed.**

362 There was a significant effect of time for the shuttlecock speed  
363 ( $P<0.001$ ,  $\eta_p^2=0.671$ ). This parameter decreased about  $3.3 \pm$   
364  $2.4\%$  in the fatigued state, from  $151.2 \pm 4.6$  km.h<sup>-1</sup> to  $146.2 \pm$   
365  $3.9$  km.h<sup>-1</sup> (**Fig 3A**).

366 **Total score.**

367 There was a significant effect of time for the total score  
368 ( $P=0.001$ ,  $\eta_p^2=0.473$ ). This parameter decreased about  $10.3 \pm$   
369  $10.3\%$  in the fatigued state, from  $27.4 \pm 5.9$  to  $24.4 \pm 4.7$  (Fig  
370 3B).

371 **Distribution.**

372 There was a main effect of time on the number of faults  
373 committed by the players (from  $29.7 \pm 13.3\%$  to  $37.9 \pm 11.6\%$ ,  
374  $P=0.006$ ,  $\eta_p^2=0.378$ ,  $d_z=0.756$ ). There was no main effect of time  
375 on consistency (from  $19.7 \pm 13.0\%$  to  $20.0 \pm 10.2$ ,  $P=0.918$ ,  
376  $\eta_p^2=0.0006$ ,  $d_z=0.026$ ) while a clear trend was observed for  
377 accuracy, with small to moderate effect size (from  $23.5 \pm 8.8\%$   
378 to  $19.7 \pm 6.0\%$ ,  $P=0.066$ ,  $\eta_p^2=0.195$ ,  $d_z=0.478$ , Fig 3C).

379 **DISCUSSION**

380 The main outcomes of the present study showed that the quality  
381 of the smash was impaired by muscle fatigue. The speed of the  
382 shuttlecock slightly decreased while the precision substantially  
383 decreased after the fatigue protocol.

384 **Specific test**

385 The results of our study 1 demonstrated that the test proposed  
386 herein (i) is sensitive enough to discriminate groups or players  
387 with different playing levels, (ii) shows that, when the  
388 shuttlecock parameters (speed and precision) are assessed  
389 simultaneously, they are both correlated with the ranking of the  
390 player and (iii) is highly reliable (intra and intersession) when  
391 high trained players are considered, as used in study 2.  
392 Specifically, as regards shuttlecock speed, we observed high ICC  
393 for all groups (around 0.8 for intra-session reliability), whereas  
394 ICC varied from low to very-low (ranged from 0.15 to 0.42) for  
395 the precision. However, a low between-subject variability is  
396 known to decrease ICC<sup>23,26,27</sup>. In the current study, we observed  
397 a very small intra-session variability for both high and moderate  
398 trained groups (i.e. SD ranged from 1.2 to 2.5). As both CV and  
399 SEM were low, we considered that the test proposed herein was  
400 consistent for the players who exhibited sufficient technical  
401 skills. Thus, the use of this specific test was relevant to assess  
402 the effects of fatigue on the quality of the smash parameters.

403 *Markers of fatigue*

404 **Psychological marker.** The perceived exertion regularly  
405 increased throughout the fatigue protocol, showing that the  
406 players were close to exhaustion before performing the second  
407 badminton test. Although it should be kept in mind that RPE  
408 measured during official junior games is less than that induced

409 in the current study (~14.5 vs 18.8)<sup>19</sup>, it is plausible that after a  
410 particularly challenging rally, the perceived exertion is  
411 significantly greater than that measured at the end of the game.  
412 Thus, Abian et al.<sup>28</sup> showed that in the modern badminton, the  
413 rally duration tends to increase, as well as the recovery between  
414 two rallies, reflecting a more demanding physical condition.  
415 Therefore, although the perceived exertion measured at the end  
416 of the fatigue protocol was very high, we assume that such a  
417 fatigue may occasionally exist during badminton game.

418 **Physical marker.** The increase in perceived exertion was  
419 accompanied by a significant decrease in performance to  
420 perform CMJ ( $-10.1 \pm 6.8\%$ ). This decrease was mainly due to  
421 the repetition of lunges and CMJ without rest during ~7 min,  
422 which could have induced muscle damage. Abian-Vicen et al.<sup>29</sup>  
423 have previously shown that performance in CMJ decreased over  
424 time during successive badminton games ( $-7.2\%$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ),  
425 although no effect was reported after only one game.

426 Taken together, both subjective and functional parameters, allow  
427 to conclude that the fatigue protocol successfully induced a state  
428 of fatigue in our subjects.

#### 429 *Fatigue impairs specific badminton skill*

430 In the current study, we observed that fatigue phenomenon,  
431 assessed by both subjective (increased RPE) and functional  
432 (decreased CMJ performance) parameters, induced a moderate  
433 but significant decrease of the speed of the shuttlecock during a  
434 smash stroke ( $-3.3 \pm 2.4\%$ ). Such a result has previously been  
435 reported in tennis<sup>13</sup> while Le Mansec et al.<sup>15</sup> did not find any  
436 decrease of this parameter after both upper and lower limb  
437 fatigue during table tennis stroke. Yet, by reporting significant  
438 differences in shuttlecock speed during the smash stroke  
439 depending on the level of the players, previous<sup>4</sup> and current  
440 (Study 1) studies demonstrated that this parameter was very  
441 discriminant to perform at a high level during a badminton game.  
442 Thus, despite their fatigue level, the players tried to maintain,  
443 consciously or unconsciously, a high shuttlecock speed during  
444 the second specific test.

445 We also found that the precision was significantly decreased  
446 during the second badminton specific test, i.e., after the fatigue  
447 protocol. This decrease in precision was mainly due to an  
448 increase in the number of faults and, to a lesser extent, to a  
449 decrease in accuracy. Thus, although Missenard et al.<sup>14</sup> showed  
450 that during a pointing task, fatigued participants decreased the  
451 speed of their movement in order to maintain their task success,  
452 the present study gave evidence that, during a specific badminton  
453 motor skill, players have given priority to the speed of the  
454 shuttlecock, even if this led to commit more faults or reach the

455 target less often. This result has already been observed in racket  
456 sports such as tennis<sup>11</sup> and table tennis<sup>15</sup>.

457 *How fatigue impairs stroke performance during*  
458 *badminton smash?*

459 Since the design of the current study was mainly descriptive, we  
460 did not have access to the underlying mechanisms, which were  
461 able to explain the results observed. However, based on previous  
462 studies, we can advance some hypotheses. Indeed, Sakurai and  
463 Ohtsuki<sup>5</sup> showed that high skilled badminton players had  
464 consistent motor program, i.e., reliability of the muscle activity  
465 pattern, when they performed badminton smash. This ability to  
466 perform consistently results in a lower unforced errors<sup>5</sup>, which  
467 has a great influence in winning or losing the game<sup>30</sup>. However,  
468 the coordination may be affected and a shift in motor control can  
469 be observed when fatigue is experienced, leading to conflicting  
470 results<sup>11,31,32</sup>. For instance, despite changes in technical factors  
471 induced by muscle fatigue, speed and accuracy were unchanged  
472 during a specific water polo motor skill<sup>32</sup>. As regards table  
473 tennis, Aune et al.<sup>31</sup> suggested that, when fatigued, high skilled  
474 players adjusted the motor coordination strategy to maintain  
475 accuracy, as explained by Missenard et al.<sup>14</sup>. Conversely, Rota  
476 et al.<sup>11</sup> showed that fatigue in upper limb muscles induced a  
477 decrease in forehand stroke accuracy associated with changes in  
478 muscle activation level, while the speed of the ball was  
479 maintained. Even if muscle activation was not measured in the  
480 current study, it might be speculated that changes in the level of  
481 activation of fatigued muscles could partly explain our results.  
482 However, further studies are required to better understand the  
483 biomechanical origins (e.g. muscular coordination pattern) of the  
484 decrease in smash stroke performance observed herein.

485 It is classically accepted that the temporal structure, the  
486 notational analysis or the physiological characteristics may vary  
487 depending on the gender, suggesting a higher demand during the  
488 games for males<sup>17</sup>. This aspect is reinforced by the study of  
489 Fernandez-Fernandez et al.<sup>19</sup>, who showed that the activity  
490 pattern of the match is different between young males and young  
491 females players (16 yr), but induced only slight different  
492 physiological responses, i.e. same heart rate, blood lactate  
493 response, RPE. Consequently, it could be possible that the  
494 protocol used in the present study to induce fatigue may affect  
495 female players in a different manner than male players and  
496 change our results. However, no gender differences appeared for  
497 the markers of fatigue (RPE:  $18.9 \pm 0.7$  vs  $18.6 \pm 1.0$ ; CMJ: -  
498  $10.4 \pm 4.6\%$  vs  $-9.4 \pm 10.3\%$  for males and females,  
499 respectively). Similarly, no gender difference was observed for  
500 the decrement in speed of the shuttlecock ( $-3.4 \pm 2.0\%$  vs  $-3.0 \pm$   
501  $3.1\%$ ). Only the decrease of the precision was different, i.e.  
502 greater for males ( $-13.2 \pm 8.0\%$ ), when compared to females (-

503 5.1 ± 12.7%), possibly due to the fact that females do not jump  
504 for smash. Taken as a whole, gender seems to have a little  
505 influence on our results.

### 506 *Practical applications*

507 The results of the current study, i.e., decrease in both speed  
508 (moderate) and precision (large effect) of the shuttlecock  
509 following a fatiguing protocol, are relevant for coaches and  
510 physical trainers, since the number of unforced errors is an  
511 important factor to win the game<sup>30</sup> and the speed of the missile  
512 is also determinant to perform at high level<sup>4</sup>. Thus, coaches,  
513 physical and/or mental trainers should accustom badminton  
514 players to experience fatigue during training and to propose  
515 relevant training programs in order to minimize the magnitude  
516 of the deleterious effect of fatigue during the game.

517

## 518 **CONCLUSION**

519 This study highlighted that fatigue impairs the quality of the  
520 stroke performance in badminton, by altering the speed (~3%)  
521 and the precision (~10%) of the shuttlecock. Moreover, our  
522 results suggest that badminton coaches should improve the  
523 physical state of their athletes to postpone the negative effects of  
524 fatigue on specific technical skills.

525

## 526 **Acknowledgments**

527 The study was supported by grants from the French Ministry of  
528 Sports (contract no. 15r16). The authors thank Claude Leveau  
529 for his precious advices and Olivier Bime and the French  
530 Badminton Federation (FFBad) as well as Mourad Amrani,  
531 Frederic Pottier, the League of Badminton of Pays de la Loire  
532 and Badenko® for her material and human assistance. The  
533 authors also thank all the badminton players.

534

535 **References**

536

- 537 1. Lees A. Science and the major racket sports: a review. *J.*  
538 *Sports Sci.* 2008;**21**:707-732.
- 539 2. Ooi CH, Tan A, Ahmad A, Kwong KW, Sompong R,  
540 Ghazali KA, Liew SL, Chai WJ, Thompson MW. Physiological  
541 characteristics of elite and sub-elite badminton players. *J Sports*  
542 *Sci.* 2009;**27**:1591-1599.
- 543 3. Chin MK, Wong AS, So RC, Siu OT, Steininger K, Lo  
544 DT. Sport specific fitness testing of elite badminton players. *Br*  
545 *J Sports Med.* 1995;**29**:153–157.
- 546 4. Phomsoupha M, Laffaye G. Shuttlecock velocity during  
547 a smash stroke in badminton evolves linearly with skill level.  
548 *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical*  
549 *engineering.* 2014;**17**(Suppl. 1):140-141.
- 550 5. Sakurai S & Ohtsuki T. Muscle activity and accuracy of  
551 performance of the smash stroke in badminton with reference to  
552 skill and practice. *J Sports Sci.* 2000;**18**:901–914.
- 553 6. Williams CA & Ratel S. Definitions of muscle fatigue.  
554 In: Williams CA, Ratel S, editors. *Human Muscle Fatigue.* New  
555 York (NY): Routledge; 2009:3-16.
- 556 7. Girard O, Lattier G, Micallef J-P, Millet GP. Changes in  
557 exercise characteristics, maximal voluntary contraction, and  
558 explosive strength during prolonged tennis playing. *Br J Sports*  
559 *Med.* 2006;**40**:521-526.
- 560 8. Le Mansec Y, Sève C, Jubeau M. Neuromuscular fatigue  
561 and time motion analysis during a table tennis competition. *J*  
562 *Sports Med Phys Fitness.* 2017;**57**:353-361.
- 563 9. Lin Z. Neuromuscular fatigue following a singles  
564 badminton match. Master thesis, Edith Cowan University. 2014.
- 565 10. Le Mansec Y, Dorel S, Nordez A, Jubeau M. Sensitivity  
566 and reliability of a specific test of stroke performance in table  
567 tennis. *Int J Sports Physiol Perfor.* 2016;**11**:678-684.
- 568 11. Rota S, Morel B, Saboul D, Rogowski I, Hautier C.  
569 Influence of fatigue on upper limb muscle activity and  
570 performance in tennis. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol.* 2014;**24**:90–97.
- 571 12. Fitts PM. The information capacity of the human motor  
572 system in controlling the amplitude of movement. *J Exp Psychol.*  
573 1954;**47**:381–391.
- 574 13. Vergauwen L, Spaepen AJ, Lefevre, Hespel P.  
575 Evaluation of stroke performance in tennis. *Med Sci Sports*  
576 *Exerc.* 1998;**30**:1281–1288.
- 577 14. Missenard O, Mottet D, Perrey S. Adaptation of motor  
578 behavior to preserve task success in the presence of muscle  
579 fatigue. *Neuroscience.* 2009;**161**:773-786.

- 580 15. Le Mansec Y, Pageaux B, Nordez A, Dorel S, Jubeau M.  
581 Mental fatigue alters the speed and the accuracy of the ball in  
582 table tennis. *J Sports Sci* 2018;**36**:2751-2759.
- 583 16. Abian-Vicen J, Castanedo A, Abian P, Sampedro J.  
584 Temporal and notational comparison of badminton matches  
585 between men's singles and women's singles. *Int. J. Perform.*  
586 *Analysis in Sport* 2013;**13**:310-320.
- 587 17. Phomsoupha M, Laffaye G. The science of badminton:  
588 game characteristics, anthropometry, physiology, visual fitness  
589 and biomechanics. *Sports Med.* 2015;**45**:473-495.
- 590 18. Kuntze G, Mansfield N, Sellers W. A biomechanical  
591 analysis of common lunge tasks in badminton. *J Sports Sci.*  
592 2010;**28**:183-191.
- 593 19. Fernandez-Fernandez J, de la Aleja Tellez JG, Moya-  
594 Ramon M, Cabello-Manrique D, Mendez-Villanueva A. Gender  
595 differences in game responses during badminton match play. *J*  
596 *Strength Cond Res.* 2013;**27**:2396-2404.
- 597 20. Marcora S. Effort. Perception of. In E. B. Goldstein, ed.  
598 *Encyclopedia of perception.* Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE  
599 Publications Inc; 2010:380-383.
- 600 21. Borg G. *Borg's perceived exertion and pain scales.*  
601 Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1998.
- 602 22. Glatthorn JF, Gouge S, Nussbaumer S, Stauffacher S,  
603 Impellizzeri FM, Maffiuletti NA. Validity and reliability of  
604 Optojump photoelectric cells for estimating vertical jump height.  
605 *J Strength Cond Res.* 2011;**25**:556-560.
- 606 23. Hopkins WG. Measures of reliability in sports medicine  
607 and science. *Sports Med* 2000;**30**:1-15.
- 608 24. Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in  
609 assessing rater reliability. *Psychol Bull.* 1979;**86**:420-428.
- 610 25. Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral*  
611 *Sciences.* New York: Academic press; 2013.
- 612 26. Atkinson DG & Nevill AM. Statistical methods for  
613 assessing measurement error (reliability) in variables to. *Sports*  
614 *Med.* 2012;**26**:217-238.
- 615 27. Weir JP. Quantifying test-retest reliability using the  
616 intraclass correlation coefficient and the SEM. *J. Strength Cond.*  
617 *Res. Natl. Strength Cond. Assoc.* 2005;**19**:231-240.
- 618 28. Abián P, Castanedo A, Feng XQ, Sampedro J, Abian-  
619 Vicen J. Notational comparison of men's singles badminton

- 620 matches between Olympic games in Beijing and London. *Int. J.*  
621 *Perform. Analysis in Sport.* 2014;**14**:42-53.
- 622 29. Abian-Vicen J, Castanedo A, Abian P, Gonzalez-Millan  
623 C, Salinero J, del Coso J. Influence of successive badminton  
624 matches on muscle strength, power, and body-fluid balance in  
625 elite players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perfor.* 2013;**9**:689-694.
- 626 30. Cabello Manrique D, González-Badillo JJ. Analysis of  
627 the characteristics of competitive badminton. *Br J Sports Med.*  
628 2003;**37**:62-66.
- 629 31. Aune TK, Ingvaldsen RP, Ettema GJ. Effect of physical  
630 fatigue on motor control at different skill levels. *Percept Mot*  
631 *Skills.* 2008;**106**:371-386.
- 632 32. Royal KA, Farrow D, Mujika I, Halson SL, Pyne D,  
633 Abernethy B. The effects of fatigue on decision making and  
634 shooting skill performance in water polo players. *J Sports Sci.*  
635 2006;**24**:807-815.
- 636
- 637

638 **Table caption**

639 **Table 1.** Anthropometric values and badminton experience for untrained (UT), low trained (LT), moderate trained (MT) and high trained group  
640 (HT) for the participants of study 1. Values are mean  $\pm$  SD.

	<b>UT</b>	<b>LT</b>	<b>MT</b>	<b>HT</b>
n	10	9	10	9
Age (years)	19.2 $\pm$ 0.8	30.3 $\pm$ 3.9	27.4 $\pm$ 2.8	24.8 $\pm$ 2.9
Height (cm)	183.9 $\pm$ 5.8	179.1 $\pm$ 4.6	178.2 $\pm$ 5.3	183.4 $\pm$ 3.9
Body mass (kg)	70.4 $\pm$ 3.0	77.7 $\pm$ 5.5	73.2 $\pm$ 3.7	75.4 $\pm$ 4.2
Badminton experience (years)	/	13.5 $\pm$ 3.5	18.3 $\pm$ 2.9	17.0 $\pm$ 3.1
Training volume (h.w-1)	/	3.2 $\pm$ 1.3	5.5 $\pm$ 2.3	8.4 $\pm$ 1.3
Ranking (FFBad)	/	7440.3 $\pm$ 2144.7	1782.4 $\pm$ 539.0	196.0 $\pm$ 133.8

641

Dependent variables	Intra-session reliability					Inter-session reliability						
	n	Mean value (SD)		ICC	Mean CV (SD)	SEM	n	Mean value (SD)		ICC	Mean CV (SD)	SEM
		T1	T2					T1	T3			
<b>HT</b>	9						9					
Shuttlecock speed (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )		158.1 ± 5.5	159.0 ± 6.3	0.81	1.7 ± 0.5	3.0		158.1 ± 5.5	159.9 ± 7.1	0.63	2.4 ± 0.8	4.2
Precision (/60)		33.1 ± 2.5	32.2 ± 1.3	0.18	4.8 ± 3.0	1.8		33.1 ± 2.5	32.7 ± 2.6	0.62	3.8 ± 3.4	1.7
<b>MT</b>	10						8					
Shuttlecock speed (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )		136.4 ± 4.0	138.5 ± 5.0	0.86	1.6 ± 0.6	1.9		136.4 ± 3.4	138.6 ± 4.4	0.48	2.3 ± 0.6	3.0
Precision (/60)		26.1 ± 2.4	25.6 ± 1.2	0.15	6.3 ± 2.2	1.8		25.5 ± 2.3	24.8 ± 3.2	0.48	7.9 ± 3.6	2.2
<b>LT</b>	9						6					
Shuttlecock speed (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )		121.0 ± 4.2	123.3 ± 4.8	0.81	2.1 ± 0.6	2.2		122.8 ± 3.8	123.8 ± 4.9	0.33	2.8 ± 0.8	3.8
Precision (/60)		21.3 ± 1.7	21.7 ± 2.8	0.30	8.6 ± 3.6	2.0		20.7 ± 1.8	23.7 ± 1.0	0.53	9.7 ± 5.2	1.1
<b>UT</b>	10						10					
Shuttlecock speed (km.h <sup>-1</sup> )		101.7 ± 5.3	102.1 ± 6.2	0.78	2.4 ± 1.4	3.0		101.7 ± 5.3	102.8 ± 9.2	0.63	4.0 ± 2.3	4.9
Precision (/60)		18.4 ± 3.0	18.6 ± 3.4	0.42	12.2 ± 7.3	2.5		18.4 ± 3.0	17.4 ± 2.2	-0.14	14.1 ± 4.6	2.7

643 **Table 2.** Intra- and inter-reliability for shuttlecock speed and precision for high trained (HT), moderate trained (MT), low trained (LT) and untrained  
644 group (UT). T1: average of the first series of the first session. T2: average of the second series of the first session. T3: average of the first series of  
645 the second session. ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient; CV: coefficient of variation; SEM: standard error of measurement. Values are mean  $\pm$   
646 SD.

647 **Figure captions**

648

649 **Figure 1.** Top view of the device used during the specific test  
650 (panel A). The black cross represents the position of the player  
651 to hit the shuttlecock. Black areas represent “accuracy” (3 pts)  
652 and grey areas represent “consistency” (2 Pts). Top view of the  
653 device used to induce fatigue (panel B). The grey square  
654 represents the replacement of the player after each lunge.

655

656 **Figure 2.** Height of the 100 countermovement jumps of the  
657 fatiguing protocol (10 sets of 10 repetitions). \*\*\* significant  
658 difference between set 1 and the other sets ( $P < 0.001$ , mean  
659 height of the sets). Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD.

660

661 **Figure 3.** Effects of muscle fatigue on smash stroke parameters  
662 related to the badminton performance test: ball speed (panel A),  
663 precision (panel B) and distribution (panel C). \*\* and \*\*\*  
664 significant differences between pre fatigue and post fatigue  
665 ( $P < 0.01$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , respectively). † trend difference between pre  
666 and post ( $0.05 < P < 0.1$ ). Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SD.