Shock microcycle of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia and tennis performance: Case study in a rookie professional player

Cyril Brechbuhl, Laurent Schmitt, Grégoire P Millet, Franck Brocherie

► To cite this version:

Cyril Brechbuhl, Laurent Schmitt, Grégoire P Millet, Franck Brocherie. Shock microcycle of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia and tennis performance: Case study in a rookie professional player. International journal of Sports Science and Coaching, 2018, 13 (5), pp.723-728. 10.1177/1747954118783586 . hal-02544893

HAL Id: hal-02544893

<https://hal-insep.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02544893>

Submitted on 16 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching

Shock microcycle of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia and tennis performance: case study in a rookie professional player

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching</i>
Manuscript ID	SPO-17-0350.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Brechbuhl, Cyril; French Tennis Federation, National Technical Direction Schmitt, Laurent; National Centre of Nordic-Ski, Department of Research and Performance Millet, Gregoire; Universite de Lausanne, ISSUL, Institute of Sport Sciences Brocherie, Franck; French Institute of Sport (INSEP), Laboratory Sport, Expertise and Performance (EA 7370), Research Unit
Keywords:	Tennis, Altitude, physical fitness, repeated-sprint ability, competition period, block periodisation
Abstract:	<p>The aim of this case study was to investigate the effects of a shock microcycle of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia (RSH) on physical fitness and tennis performance. One rookie professional tennis player performed repeated-sprint ability (RSA) and Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery level 2 (YYIR2) tests before and after (+3 days and +21 days) 6 sessions of RSH (4 sets of 5 × ~6s repeated-shuttle sprint interspersed by ~24 s of passive recovery) practiced during a 14-day "in-season" period. Tennis performance was subjectively measured from match results and Association of Tennis Professionals (ATP) points obtained during four professional tournaments played before and after intervention. While no changes were found at +3 days post-RSH, improvements in physical fitness [single sprint time (-4.5%), RSA total time (-3.1%) and sprint decrement (-16.7%), as well as YYIR2 total distance covered (+ 21.4%)] were observable at +21 days post-RSH. Tennis performance obtained during the tournaments was better after intervention. From pre to post-RSH, the decreases in opponents' ATP ranking during matches won (1109 ± 334 points vs. 818 ± 212 points) and lost (499 ± 68 points vs. 256 ± 58 points) revealed a stronger opposition. Consequently, a three-fold increase (from 4 to 12 ATP points gained from pre to post-RSH) in participant's ATP ranking was recorded. In summary, a 14-day "in-season" shock microcycle including 6 sessions of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia revealed interesting effects in specific fitness and tennis performance in a rookie professional tennis player.</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Article type: Case study

Corresponding author:

Dr Franck Brocherie

Laboratory Sport, Expertise and Performance (EA 7370), Research Unit, French Institute of Sport (INSEP), 11 Avenue du Tremblay, Paris, France

Email: franck.brocherie@insep.fr

Phone: +33 (0)1 41 74 43 54

Article Title: Shock microcycle of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia and tennis performance: case study in a rookie professional player

Authors: Cyril Brechbuhl^{1,2}, Laurent Schmitt^{2,3}, Grégoire P. Millet², Franck Brocherie⁴

¹ National Technical Direction, French Tennis Federation, Paris, France.

² ISSUL, Institute of Sport Sciences, Faculty of Biology and Medicine, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland.

³ Department of Research and Performance, National Centre of Nordic-Ski, Premanon, France.

⁴ Laboratory Sport, Expertise and Performance (EA 7370), Research Unit, French Institute of Sport (INSEP), Paris, France.

Running title: RSH and tennis-specific performance

Number words: 240 for abstract, 2006 words from introduction to conclusion

Number of figures: 2

Number of tables: 1

Abstract

The aim of this case study was to investigate the effects of a shock microcycle of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia (RSH) on physical fitness and tennis performance. One rookie professional tennis player performed repeated-sprint ability (RSA) and Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery level 2 (YYIR2) tests before and after (+3 days and +21 days) 6 sessions of RSH (4 sets of 5 × ~6s repeated-shuttle sprint interspersed by ~24 s of passive recovery) practiced during a 14-day “in-season” period. Tennis performance was subjectively measured from match results and Association of Tennis Professionals (ATP) points obtained during four professional tournaments played before and after intervention. While no changes were found at +3 days post-RSH, improvements in physical fitness [single sprint time (-4.5%), RSA total time (-3.1%) and sprint decrement (-16.7%), as well as YYIR2 total distance covered (+ 21.4%)] were observable at +21 days post-RSH. Tennis performance obtained during the tournaments was better after intervention. From pre to post-RSH, the decreases in opponents’ ATP ranking during matches won (1109 ± 334 points vs. 818 ± 212 points) and lost (499 ± 68 points vs. 256 ± 58 points) revealed a stronger opposition. Consequently, a three-fold increase (from 4 to 12 ATP points gained from pre to post-RSH) in participant’s ATP ranking was recorded. In summary, a 14-day “in-season” shock microcycle including 6 sessions of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia revealed interesting effects in specific fitness and tennis performance in a rookie professional tennis player.

Keywords: Tennis, altitude, physical fitness, repeated-sprint ability, competition period, block periodization.

1 Introduction

Tennis professional tour is characterized by a massive part of season dedicated to the competitions, *i.e.*, tournaments' participations. With this calendar, optimizing the training time appears particularly determinant with a permanent search for the most specific and effective training methods ¹. In order to overcome the limited time schedule, recent publications on intermittent sports such as football ² or tennis ³ have shown that the use of shock microcycles leads to sport-specific performance improvements.

In this view, repeated-sprint training in hypoxia (RSH) has been proposed as a promising strategy in intermittent sports to improve sport-specific performance ^{4,5}. For instance, Gatterer et al. ⁶ reported that repeated shuttle-run sprint training is feasible in a normobaric hypoxic chamber of limited size (5 m width) and highlighted that a 12-days shock microcycle performed in hypoxia (~3300 m) provided a putative benefit on selected repeated-sprint outcomes (*i.e.*, mean time) compared with similar training in normoxia. This was further accompanied by similar gains in specific aerobic fitness [*i.e.*, Yo-Yo intermittent recovery test level 2 (YYIR2)] after both training modalities, suggesting that RSH did not blunt aerobic adaptations ⁶. Although one cannot rule out a placebo effect leading to a higher motivation and/or higher training intensity than usually, suggested RSH-related mechanisms were increased muscular perfusion, changed pH regulation and enhanced glycolytic activity for repeated-sprint outcomes ⁴, increased tissue oxygen extraction ⁷, citrate synthase activity and myoglobin content for YYIR2 ⁴. Moreover, RSH has been consistently shown as beneficial in different team sports [*e.g.*, rugby ⁸, field-hockey ⁹] and leads to an increase in best and mean repeated-sprint performance [for details, see ¹⁰]. However, to date, no study has yet investigated the effect of RSH on tennis performance.

Regarding the fitness determinants of professional tennis ¹¹, one may assume that RSH might rapidly induce beneficial adaptations and performance improvement. Therefore, the aim of this case

study was to investigate the effects of RSH intervention (6 sessions) over a 14-day “in-season” period on specific fitness and tennis performance in a rookie professional tennis player.

Case report

Participant

An 18-year-old rookie professional tennis player (classified in the top-10 of the combined Junior ranking of the International Tennis Federation during the season preceding the present study; body weight 67.0 kg; stature 179 cm; BMI 20.9 kg.m⁻²) voluntarily agreed to participate. At the time of the investigation, he had been training competitively for the past 8 years, and regularly participated in 8-12 training sessions per week (total training hours per week of 12-25 h) in a program that varies with the schedule of upcoming competition. The participant was informed of the experimental risks and gave his written voluntary informed consent for the tests and for the public reporting of his results. The study conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the institutional review board.

Time line

All tests (see below) were performed prior to onset of RSH training (pre), after 14 days of intervention (+3 days) and following 2 weeks of tournaments participation (+21 days). Experimental intervention is described in Figure 1. Compared to pre- and post-RSH intervention (18.5 ± 4.0 h and 17.0 ± 1.0 h, respectively), the participant was submitted to on overall training volume of 24 ± 2.0 h during the shock microcycle. Tennis-specific fitness level was assessed using testing battery which was used routinely during participant follow-up. Further, in order to compare the impact on tennis performance, match results from four professional (*i.e.*, ITF Futures) tournaments played before and after intervention were collected.

Tennis-specific fitness tests

Single straight-line 20 m sprint, repeated-sprint ability (RSA) (8×20 m – 20 s recovery) and YYIR2 performances were tested as described elsewhere⁹. RSA was assessed using three scores: the best sprint time (RSA_{best}), the total sprint time (*i.e.*, sum of the eight sprints; RSA_{TT}) and the sprint decrement score¹²: $S_{dec} (\%) = [(RSA_{TT} / (RSA_{best} \times 8) - 1) \times 100]$. During the YYIR2 test, the test ended when the participant had failed to reach the finishing line in time for the second time and the total distance was then recorded.

Tennis performance

To evaluate the tennis performance during professional (*i.e.*, ITF Futures) tournaments, we considered the opponent's level [*i.e.*, Association of Tennis Professionals (ATP) ranking] and the number of ATP points gained by the participant before and after RSH intervention. These two criteria are based on the ATP world tour ranking which is the conventional method of assessing the performance of professional tennis players. Briefly, points score is given to a player regarding the round reached during tournaments.

Repeated-sprint training in hypoxia

During the 14-day “in-season” intervention period, the participant included 6 RSH sessions in his usual training routine (Figure 1). Briefly, each RSH session lasted ~1 h, including a 20-min warm-up, repeated-sprint training routine, and a 10-min cooling-down period. Specifically, RSH sessions consisted of 4 sets of $5 \times \sim 6$ s repeated-shuttle sprint interspersed by ~24 s of passive recovery and were completed on an indoor synthetic tennis surface inside a 120 m² normobaric hypoxic training room (b-Cat, Netherlands) where ambient air was mixed with nitrogen (from pressurized tanks) to reduce FiO_2 to ~14.5% in order to simulate an altitude of 3000 m. Temperature and humidity were maintained at ~21°C and ~55% relative humidity. Participant was constantly reminded to exert “all-out” effort in trying to reach peak acceleration and to maintain the highest possible running speed for every sprint bout. Heart rate (HR; Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland), arterial oxyhemoglobin

(SpO₂; GO2TM Achieve 9570-A, Nonin, Plymouth, MN, USA) and blood lactate concentration ([La]; Lactate Pro Analyser, Arkray Inc, Kyoto, Japan) were measured after each set (data not presented) and at the end of each RSH session. Ratings of perceived exertion (RPE; 6-20 and 0-10 Borg scales), overall perceived discomfort, perceived lower-limb discomfort, and perceived difficulty breathing (modified Borg CR10 scales) were collected at the end of each RSH sessions ¹³. RSH training loads were calculated as total session duration × session RPE ¹⁴.

Data analysis

Absolute and relative (percentage differences between pre, +3 days and +21 days post-RSH) values are presented by descriptive statistics. Probabilities were also calculated to establish whether the true difference was lower than, similar to, or higher than the smallest worthwhile difference or change (SWC, 0.2 × overall pooled SD per dependent variable). A qualitative effect descriptor was assigned according to the likelihood of the change exceeding the SWC: 50-74% “possible”, 75-94% “likely”, 95-99% “very likely”, >99% “almost certainly” ¹⁵. Effects where the 90% confidence interval overlapped simultaneously the substantially positive and negative thresholds were deemed “unclear”.

Results

Physiological responses at the end of RSH sessions corresponded to an averaged HR, SpO₂ and [La] of 168 ± 6 bpm; 87.8 ± 1.0 %; 9.9 ± 1.9 mmol.L⁻¹, respectively. Session RPEs were 15.6 ± 1.1/7.0 ± 0.8 au, corresponding to training load of 935 ± 68/418 ± 50 au. Overall perceived discomfort (6.8 ± 0.8 au), perceived lower-limb discomfort (7.3 ± 0.8 au) and difficulty breathing (7.0 ± 0.9 au) indicated “very severe” scores.

All specific fitness and tennis performance results are summarized in Table 1 and Figure 2.

While no positive changes were found at +3 days post-RSH, improvements in physical fitness [single sprint time (-4.5%, *very likely* greater than the SWC), RSA total time (-3.1%, *almost*

certainly greater) and sprint decrement (-16.7%, very likely greater), as well as total distance covered during YYIR2 (+ 21.4%, almost certainly greater)] were observable at +21 days post-RSH. Tennis performance achieved during the tournaments was improved after intervention. From pre to post-RSH, the decreases in opponents' ATP ranking during matches won (1109 ± 334 points vs. 818 ± 212 points; 100/0/0, almost certainly greater) and lost (499 ± 68 points vs. 256 ± 58 points; 100/0/0, almost certainly greater) revealed a stronger opposition. Consequently, a three-fold increase (from 4 to 12 ATP points gained from pre to post-RSH) in participant's ATP ranking was recorded.

Discussion

The main finding of this case study is that a 14-day "in-season" shock microcycle including 6 sessions of RSH revealed interesting effects in specific fitness and tennis performance in a rookie professional tennis player. Notably, gains in sport-specific fitness were observed 21 days after cessation of additional RSH, whereas no change was noted 3 days after intervention. This may have accompanied the greater tennis performance recorded during the professional tournaments played after intervention (*i.e.*, between +3 days and +21 days post-RSH).

Although training overreaching/overtraining's risk may exist, periodization of intensive sessions is required to generate physiological adaptations and sport-specific performance enhancement^{16, 17}. Here, the underperformance observed at +3 days suggests a temporary state of fatigue which was compensated after sufficient recovery period (*i.e.*, performance improvement at +21 days). These delayed benefits are in line with the specific timing recommendations for the "classical" hypoxic training methods (*e.g.*, living high-training high or living high-training low) with a positive window after 2–3 weeks of sea level training, after return from altitude training before a prime competition¹⁸. Therefore, this recently developed⁷ RSH method seems suitable and effective to improve tennis-specific performance as previously demonstrated in various team-sports [rugby⁸, football⁶, field-hockey⁹].

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

While it appears in line with the findings of Gatterer et al. ⁶ using similar shock microcycle and exercise mode (*i.e.*, 12-day repeated shuttle-sprint training in hypoxia), as well as with a recent meta-analysis on the effects of RSH (*vs.* similar normoxic training) on normoxic sport-specific performance ¹⁰, it seems that the shuttle-sprinting mode used for RSH may play a different role than straight-line sprinting mode on transient fatigue development. Based on our data, we assume that the inclusion of change of direction with successive deceleration/acceleration phases during repeated sprints is likely to increase the mechanical (*i.e.*, stride patterns and/or leg stiffness) and peripheral [*i.e.*, active bi-articular leg and core muscles] demands resulting in greater metabolic load (*e.g.*, lactate accumulation) ¹⁹. Overall, this may slightly have altered RSH tolerance ¹³ without compromising long-term physiological adaptations (*i.e.*, upregulation of the glycolytic activity, higher ability to resynthesize phosphocreatine and higher oxygen utilization) and physical fitness enhancement ^{4, 9}.

Despite our limited understanding of the dose-response relationships between the training load and training-induced changes in physical fitness and tennis performance, the additional effects of RSH on official tennis performance highlights its potential effectiveness to improve tennis fatigue resistance ²⁰. The use of repeated shuttle sprints likely mimicking on-court movements could have led to positive changes in match performance, with a possible transfer of fitness gains [*i.e.*, RSA-related outcomes, agility and power (not measured here) and aerobic capacity] on technical skill (*i.e.*, advanced shots and stroke efficiency maintenance). Without undermining the importance of fitness attributes, tennis performance is multifactorial and integrates an array of complex physical, physiological, cognitive and psychological processes ²¹. As such, further tennis-related RSH research is encouraged to employ robust performance measures and a multi-dimensional approach to tennis performance. The inclusion of on-court tennis specific test ²² and perceptual-cognitive skills (*e.g.*, anticipatory and decision-making capacities) deserve future examination.

From a practical point of view, this case report can be used as a handy script for tennis players preparing for competition. Detailed and periodized repeated-sprint training in hypoxia (RSH)

microcycle for 14-day “in-season” period is provided and can be used by staff involved in highly-trained athletes. By focusing on RSH as described with combination of both conditioning and tennis training, an increase in performance can be expected. In addition, relevant tests and related reference values are provided for individual adjustments to be done according to the needs and capacity analysis.

Conclusion

In summary, a 14-day “in-season” shock microcycle including 6 sessions of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia revealed interesting effects in physical fitness and tennis performance in a rookie professional tennis player. However, gains in physical fitness were observed 21 days after cessation of the last session, while remaining unaltered or even negatively affected shortly (3 days) post-intervention. The long-term effect on specific fitness suggests a greater fatigue resistance which may have resulted in greater tennis performance after intervention. Future investigations on special focused hypoxic training block periodization in intermittent sports are warranted.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to sincerely thank the player for participating in this case study and consenting to its publication.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

The authors have no sources of funding to disclose.

References

1
2 179 1. Fernandez-Fernandez J, Sanz-Rivas D, Sanchez-Munoz C, et al. Physiological Responses to On-Court
3 180 vs Running Interval Training in Competitive Tennis Players. *J Sports Sci Med* 2011; 10: 540-545. 2011/01/01.
4 181 2. Christensen PM, Krstrup P, Gunnarsson TP, et al. VO2 kinetics and performance in soccer players
5 182 after intense training and inactivity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011; 43: 1716-1724. DOI:
6 183 10.1249/MSS.0b013e318211c01a.
7 184 3. Fernandez-Fernandez J, Sanz-Rivas D, Sarabia JM, et al. Preseason Training: The Effects of a 17-Day
8 185 High-Intensity Shock Microcycle in Elite Tennis Players. *J Sports Sci Med* 2015; 14: 783-791.
9 186 4. Faiss R, Girard O and Millet GP. Advancing hypoxic training in team sports: from intermittent
10 187 hypoxic training to repeated sprint training in hypoxia. *British journal of sports medicine* 2013; 47 Suppl 1:
11 188 i45-50. Review 2013/12/07. DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-092741.
12 189 5. Girard O, Amann M, Aughey R, et al. Position statement--altitude training for improving team-sport
13 190 players' performance: current knowledge and unresolved issues. *Br J Sports Med* 2013; 47 Suppl 1: i8-16.
14 191 2013/12/07. DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-093109.
15 192 6. Gatterer H, Klarod K, Heinrich D, et al. Effects of a 12-day maximal shuttle-run shock microcycle in
16 193 hypoxia on soccer specific performance and oxidative stress. *Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism*
17 194 = *Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme* 2015; 40: 842-845. Randomized Controlled Trial
18
19
20 195 Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't 2015/07/28. DOI: 10.1139/apnm-2014-0479.
21 196 7. Faiss R, Leger B, Vesin JM, et al. Significant molecular and systemic adaptations after repeated
22 197 sprint training in hypoxia. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e56522. 2013/02/26. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056522
23 198 PONE-D-12-39698 [pii].
24 199 8. Galvin HM, Cooke K, Sumners DP, et al. Repeated sprint training in normobaric hypoxia. *British*
25 200 *journal of sports medicine* 2013; 47 Suppl 1: i74-79. Randomized Controlled Trial
26
27 201 Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't 2013/12/07. DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-092826.
28 202 9. Brocherie F, Millet GP, Hauser A, et al. "Live High-Train Low and High" hypoxic training improves
29 203 team-sport performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2015; 47: 2140-2149. 2015/02/11. DOI:
30 204 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000630.
31 205 10. Brocherie F, Girard O, Faiss R, et al. Effects of Repeated-Sprint Training in Hypoxia on Sea-Level
32 206 Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med* 2017. Review 2017/02/15. DOI: 10.1007/s40279-017-0685-3.
33 207 11. Pereira TJ, Nakamura FY, de Jesus MT, et al. Analysis of the distances covered and technical actions
34 208 performed by professional tennis players during official matches. *Journal of sports sciences* 2017; 35: 361-
35 209 368. 2016/03/31. DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1165858.
36 210 12. Girard O, Racinais S, Kelly L, et al. Repeated sprinting on natural grass impairs vertical stiffness but
37 211 does not alter plantar loading in soccer players. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2011; 111: 2547-2555. 2011/03/04. DOI:
38 212 10.1007/s00421-011-1884-5.
39 213 13. Brocherie F, Millet GP and Girard O. Psychophysiological Responses to Repeated-Sprint Training in
40 214 Normobaric Hypoxia and Normoxia. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2017; 12: 115-123. 2016/05/04. DOI:
41 215 10.1123/ijspp.2016-0052.
42 216 14. Foster C, Florhaug JA, Franklin J, et al. A new approach to monitoring exercise training. *J Strength*
43 217 *Cond Res* 2001; 15: 109-115. 2001/11/16.
44 218 15. Batterham AM and Hopkins WG. Making meaningful inferences about magnitudes. *Int J Sports*
45 219 *Physiol Perform* 2006; 1: 50-57.
46 220 16. Foster C. Monitoring training in athletes with reference to overtraining syndrome. *Med Sci Sports*
47 221 *Exerc* 1998; 30: 1164-1168. 1998/07/15.
48 222 17. Schmitt L, Hellard P, Millet GP, et al. Heart rate variability and performance at two different
49 223 altitudes in well-trained swimmers. *Int J Sports Med* 2006; 27: 226-231. 2006/03/17. DOI: 10.1055/s-2005-
50 224 865647.
51 225 18. Millet GP, Roels B, Schmitt L, et al. Combining hypoxic methods for peak performance. *Sports Med*
52 226 2010; 40: 1-25. 2009/12/22. DOI: 10.2165/11317920-000000000-00000.
53 227 19. Brocherie F, Millet GP and Girard O. Neuro-mechanical and metabolic adjustments to the repeated
54 228 anaerobic sprint test in professional football players. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2015; 115: 891-903. 2014/12/08.
55 229 DOI: 10.1007/s00421-014-3070-z.

20. Smekal G, Pokan R, von Duvillard SP, et al. Comparison of laboratory and "on-court" endurance testing in tennis. *International journal of sports medicine* 2000; 21: 242-249. Comparative Study 2000/06/15. DOI: 10.1055/s-2000-310.
21. Hornery DJ, Farrow D, Mujika I, et al. Fatigue in tennis: mechanisms of fatigue and effect on performance. *Sports Med* 2007; 37: 199-212. 2007/03/01.
22. Brechbuhl C, Girard O, Millet GP, et al. On the Use of a Test to Exhaustion Specific to Tennis (TEST) with Ball Hitting by Elite Players. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: e0152389. 2016/04/02. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0152389.

238 **Conflict of interest**

239 The authors report no conflicting interests.

240

241 **Acknowledgments**

242 The authors would like to express their gratitude to the participant, who voluntary agreed to
243 contribute.

Figures Legend

Figure 1. Overview of the 14-day “in-season” intervention and description of a typical session of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia (RSH).

Figure 2. Comparison of opponent’s ATP rankings (A) and participant’s ATP points gained (B) before (pre) and after (+21 days) 14 days “in-season” of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia (RSH) intervention.

Figure 1. Overview of the 14-day "in-season" intervention and description of a typical session of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia (RSH).

37x16mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 2. Comparison of opponent's ATP rankings (A) and participant's ATP points gained (B) before (pre) and after (+21 days) 14 days "in-season" of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia (RSH) intervention.

19x7mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Table 1. Physical fitness results before (pre) and after (+3 days and +21 days) 14 days “in-season” of repeated-sprint training in hypoxia (RSH) intervention.

	Pre	+3 days	+21 days
Single sprint time (s)	3.12	3.13	2.98
% of change from pre		+0.3%	-4.5%
% chances to be greater/similar/smaller than the SWC		39/39/22 <i>unclear difference</i>	97/1/2 <i>very likely greater</i>
RSA _{best} (s)	3.10	3.13	3.02
% of change from pre		+1.0%	-2.6%
% chances to be greater/similar/smaller than the SWC		10/12/78 <i>unclear difference</i>	96/1/3 <i>very likely greater</i>
RSA _{TT} (s)	25.65	25.79	24.85
% of change from pre		+0.6%	-3.1%
% chances to be greater/similar/smaller than the SWC		0/11/89 <i>trivial difference</i>	100/0/0 <i>almost certainly greater</i>
S _{dec} (%)	3.43	3.00	2.86
% of change from pre		-12.6%	-16.7%
% chances to be greater/similar/smaller than the SWC		96/2/2 <i>very likely greater</i>	98/1/1 <i>very likely greater</i>
YYIR2 distance (m)	560	440	680
% of change from pre		-21.4%	+21.4%
% chances to be greater/similar/smaller than the SWC		0/0/100 <i>almost certainly greater</i>	100/0/0 <i>almost certainly greater</i>