

Commentary: Validation of a Ramp Running Protocol for Determination of the True VO₂max in Mice

Koen Lemaire, Rémi Thomasson, Philippe Noirez, Richard Jaspers, A. van
Soest

► **To cite this version:**

Koen Lemaire, Rémi Thomasson, Philippe Noirez, Richard Jaspers, A. van Soest. Commentary: Validation of a Ramp Running Protocol for Determination of the True VO₂max in Mice. *Frontiers in Physiology*, Frontiers, 2017, 8, 10.3389/fphys.2017.00330 . hal-02641588

HAL Id: hal-02641588

<https://hal-insep.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02641588>

Submitted on 28 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Commentary: Validation of a Ramp Running Protocol for Determination of the True $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ in Mice

Koen K. Lemaire^{1*}, Rémi Thomasson², Philippe Noirez², Richard T. Jaspers³ and A. J. van Soest¹

¹ Human Movement Sciences, Faculty of Behavioural and Movement Sciences, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ² Groupe Physiologie Expérimentale, Institut de Recherche bio-Médicale et d'Epidémiologie du Sport, Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France, ³ Laboratory for Myology, Human Movement Sciences, Faculty of Behavioural and Movement Sciences, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Keywords: flow-through respirometry, room calorimetry, metabolism, mice, $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$

A commentary on

Validation of a Ramp Running Protocol for Determination of the True $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ in Mice

by Ayachi, M., Niel, R., Momken, I., Billat, V. L., and Mille-Hamard, L. (2016). *Front. Physiol.* 7:372. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00372

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Giuseppe D'Antona,
University of Pavia, Italy

Reviewed by:

Andreas Bergdahl,
Concordia University, Canada

*Correspondence:

Koen K. Lemaire
k.k.lemaire@vu.nl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Exercise Physiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 16 January 2017

Accepted: 08 May 2017

Published: 24 May 2017


Citation:

Lemaire KK, Thomasson R, Noirez P, Jaspers RT and van Soest AJ (2017) Commentary: Validation of a Ramp Running Protocol for Determination of the True $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ in Mice. *Front. Physiol.* 8:330. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00330

Maximal oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2\max}$) is an important physiological parameter, which is often used to evaluate the physiological effect of (training) interventions in mice. $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ is typically defined as the $\dot{V}O_2$ reached during exhaustive exercise, where a $\dot{V}O_2$ plateau is observed despite an increase in workload, in combination with a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) > 1 (Ayachi et al. (2016); hereafter referred to as Ayachi2016). However, as adequately pointed out by Ayachi2016, a widely accepted standard protocol for measuring mouse $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ is currently lacking.

To arrive at such a standard protocol Ayachi2016 have measured the peak $\dot{V}O_2$ (highest 15 s averaged $\dot{V}O_2$ during a trial, $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$) using six protocols (three treadmill velocity profiles, at two treadmill inclinations) requiring mice to run on a treadmill in an air-tight chamber (volume of air inside the chamber, V_{ch}). Fresh air was blown into the chamber at a rate \dot{V}_{in} , circulated with a fan, and extracted and sampled for oxygen and carbon dioxide content. Based on their results, Ayachi2016 conclude that a ramp velocity protocol with an initial velocity of 3 m/min (0.05 m/s) and a constant acceleration of 3 m/min² (0.0083 m/s²) at an inclination of 0 degrees is best suited for estimating the true $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$.

As explained elsewhere (Lighton and Halsey, 2011), the relation between the mouse $\dot{V}O_2$ and the measured oxygen concentration in the chamber is well described by a first order linear system with a time constant and a static amplification that are known (Bartholomew, 1981; Christensen, 1946) to equal $\frac{V_{\text{ch}}}{\dot{V}_{\text{in}}}$ and $\frac{1}{V_{\text{in}}}$, respectively. In the experiments of Ayachi2016 we estimate that $V_{\text{ch}} = 1,350$ mL and $\dot{V}_{\text{in}} = 11$ mL/s, resulting in a time constant of about 120 s. Using the latter values and the definitions for $\dot{V}O_2$ plateau and $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ from Ayachi2016, we have simulated the results of the ramp 3, 0 degree protocol from Ayachi2016. The results of this simulation are shown in **Figure 1**. The modeled, “true,” mouse $\dot{V}O_2$ signal (i.e., input in our simulation, **Figure 1B**, blue, solid curve) was adjusted such that the “measured” signal (**Figure 1B**, red, dashed curve) resulted in a plateau region of 57 s and was based on the assumptions that (1) the mouse (and the measurement system) started the test in rest; (2) the steady state mouse $\dot{V}O_2$ increases linearly with running speed (as suggested by Ayachi2016); and (3) the mouse itself acts as a linear system with a time constant of 20 s. The resulting $\dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}}$ equaled 0.995 of the input value for $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ confirming that input $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ was indeed measured during the trial. However, as can be appreciated from **Figure 1**, in



order to observe the 57 s plateau reported in Ayachi2016, the mice must have reached their true $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ almost 6 min prior to the end to the trial. This is a surprising result, considering that the belt speed continuously increased during this 6 min period, whereas oxygen consumption remained constant at $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$.

It should be noted that our assumptions regarding the shape of the input signal represent a best case scenario; other realistic input signals (e.g., an exponential) that are parameterized to result in a comparable plateau in the measured $\dot{V}O_2$ signal would require the true $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$ to be reached even earlier during the trial. Moreover, although the dynamics of the measurement system are best directly measured, as suggested by Lighton and Halsey (2011), our estimation of its time constant is the theoretical minimum, and thus also constitutes the best case scenario.

As is clear from our simulation results (**Figure 1**), while measuring mouse $\dot{V}O_2$ during chamber calorimetry, the dynamics of the measurement system is a complicating factor. As discussed in (Lighton and Halsey, 2011), there are two ways to improve (i.e., decrease) the time constant of the measurement system: (1) decrease the free volume inside the chamber, and (2) increase the flow rate. Regarding option

2, we note that this leads to an undesirable decrease of the static amplification and thus to a deteriorated signal to noise ratio. Furthermore, it might compromise perfect mixing of the gasses inside the chamber, which is a prerequisite for valid measurements.

In sum, while acknowledging the importance of the issues discussed in Ayachi2016, we stress that the dynamics of the measurement system must be taken into consideration when interpreting experimental results that are obtained using chamber calorimetry. In that regard, it is important that protocols for determination of oxygen consumption in mice should be chosen such that the time constant of the particular measurement system is adequate in relation to the kinetics of oxygen uptake. One way to achieve this may be to impose similar exercise protocols in a setup in which the free volume inside the chamber is much smaller, such as the swimming setup described in Grondard et al. (2008).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed, have made substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

REFERENCES

- Ayachi, M., Niel, R., Momken, I., Billat, V. L., and Mille-Hamard, L. (2016). Validation of a ramp running protocol for determination of the true $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$ in mice. *Front. Physiol.* 7:372. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00372
- Bartholomew, G. (1981). Instantaneous measurements of oxygen consumption during pre-flight warm-up and post-flight cooling in sphingid and saturniid moths. *J. Exp. Biol.* 90, 17–32.
- Christensen, B. G. (1946). A simple apparatus for simultaneous measurement of the standard metabolism and the respiratory quotient in small laboratory animals, supplemented with investigations on normal and hypophysectomised rats. *Acta Physiol. Scand.* 12, 12–26. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-1716.1946.tb00362.x
- Gronard, C., Biondi, O., Pariset, C., Lopes, P., Deforges, S., Lécolle, S., et al. (2008). Exercise-induced modulation of calcineurin activity parallels the time course of myofibre transitions. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 214, 126–135. doi: 10.1002/jcp.21168
- Lighton, J. R. B., and Halsey, L. G. (2011). Flow-through respirometry applied to chamber systems: pros and cons, hints and tips. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 158, 265–275. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.11.026

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Lemaire, Thomasson, Noirez, Jaspers and van Soest. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.